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## Office of the State Actuary

"Securing tomorrow's pensions today."

# Letter of Introduction <br> Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System Plan 2 Actuarial Valuation Report As of June 30, 2011 

September 2012

As required under Chapter 41.45 RCW, this report documents the results of an actuarial valuation of the Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System Plan 2 (LEOFF 2).

The primary purpose of this valuation is to determine contribution requirements for LEOFF 2 for the plan year ending June 30, 2011, under the funding policy established by the LEOFF 2 Retirement Board. This valuation also provides information on the funding progress and developments in the plan over the past year.

This report is organized into the following four sections:

* Summary of Key Results.
* Actuarial Exhibits.
* Participant Data.
* Appendices.

The Summary of Key Results section provides a high-level summary of the valuation results for LEOFF 2. The next two sections of the report provide detailed actuarial asset and liability information and participant data. The Appendices provide a summary of the principal actuarial assumptions and methods, a summary of the major plan provisions, and additional information used to prepare this valuation.

We encourage you to submit any questions you might have concerning this report to our regular address or our e-mail address at state.actuary@leg.wa.gov. We also invite you to visit our website (osa.leg.wa.gov), for further information regarding the actuarial funding of the Washington State retirement systems.

Sincerely,


Matthew M. Smith, FCA, EA, MAAA
State Actuary


Lisa A. Won, ASA, FCA, MAAA Actuary

## Section One

## Summary of Key Results



## Intended Use

The purpose of this report is to develop contribution rates required to fund the Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 2 based on the funding policies described in this section. This report provides information on the contribution rates, the funding progress, and developments in the plan over the past year. This report also discloses the data, assumptions, and methods we used to develop the contribution rates. This report is not intended to satisfy the accounting requirements under the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules.

## Contribution Rates

The Office of the State Actuary (OSA) determined the member, employer, and state contribution rates as a percentage of salary based on the long-term funding policy adopted by the LEOFF 2 Retirement Board (the Board). The summary table to the right shows contribution rates based on the 2011 valuation along with comparable rates from the previous valuation. The Actuarial Exhibits section of this report shows how we developed these

| Contribution Rates |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |
|  | $7.57 \%$ |  |
| Member | $4.54 \%$ |  |
| Employer* | $3.57 \%$ |  |
| State | $3.03 \%$ |  |

*Excludes administrative expense rate. rates.

| Adopted Contribution Rates* |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Member | $8.41 \%$ |
| Employer** | $5.05 \%$ |
| State | $3.36 \%$ |

*Adopted for period 2013-17.
**Excludes administrative expense rate.

During the 2012 Interim, the Board adopted a stable contribution rate policy for 2013-17 to manage the risk of increasing contribution rates in the future. Please see the Actuarial Certification Letter for further details on this temporary funding policy. The table to the left shows the contribution rates adopted by the Board for 2013-17.

## Contribution Rate-Setting Cycle

Under current Washington State law, in July of even-numbered years, the Board reviews the basic contribution rates calculated by the Board-retained actuary based on an actuarial valuation performed on asset, participant, and plan information compiled in odd-numbered years. In calculating basic contribution rates, the Board-retained actuary applies the statutory funding policies described in this section. The Board then adopts contribution rates for LEOFF Plan 2 as provided under RCW 41.26.720(1)(a). The adopted rates remain in place for the ensuing biennium, subject to revision by the Legislature.

RCW 41.45.070 requires that a temporary and supplemental contribution rate increase be charged to fund the cost of benefit enhancements enacted following the adoption of the basic rates. Supplemental contribution rates are included in the basic rates at the beginning of the next contribution rate-setting cycle.

## Funding Policy

Washington State relies on systematic actuarial funding to finance the on-going cost of the state retirement systems. Under this financing approach, we reduce the cost of future pension payments by the expected long-term return on invested contributions.

The state's funding policy is found in Chapter 41.45 RCW - Actuarial Funding of State Retirement Systems. It includes the following goals - to:

- Provide a dependable and systematic process for funding the benefits to members and retirees of the Washington State Retirement Systems.
- Continue to fully fund LEOFF Plan 2 as provided by law.
- Establish long-term employer contribution rates that will remain a relatively predictable proportion of the future state budgets.
- Fund, to the extent feasible, all benefits over the working lives of those members so that the taxpayers who receive the benefit of those members' service pay the cost of those benefits.

The Board adopted minimum contribution rates equal to 90 percent of the normal cost rate calculated under the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method.

The Washington State Investment Board (WSIB) directs the investment of retirement system contributions. RCW 43.33A. 110 requires WSIB to maximize investment returns at a prudent level of risk.

## Comments on 2011 Results

The following comments summarize the key changes from the last valuation.
The actual rate of investment return for the plan year was above the assumed rate of 7.5 percent. The actual, annualized investment return on the Market Value of Assets was 21.14 percent. The rate of investment return on the Actuarial Value of Assets was lower than the assumed rate of 7.5 percent.

Gains or losses to liabilities and salaries also impact contribution rates. These occur when annual economic and demographic experience differs from our long-term assumptions or when there are changes in plan provisions, actuarial assumptions, or methods. We summarize gains/losses for the total employer contribution rate below.

Overall, liabilities increased less than expected, resulting in an actuarial gain. The key reason for liability gains was that salaries increased less than expected. The key reason for liability losses comes from the increases in liabilities due to new entrants.

The present value of future salaries increased more than expected because of new members joining LEOFF Plan 2. As a result, the salary base for collecting contributions is larger. This results in an actuarial gain to the plan.

Actuarial gains will reduce contribution rates; actuarial losses will increase contribution rates. Under a reasonable set of actuarial assumptions and methods, actuarial gains and losses will offset over longterm experience periods.

Detailed gain and loss information can be found in the Actuarial Exhibits section of this report.

## Actuarial Liabilities

The next table summarizes key measures of actuarial liability along with the liabilities from last year's valuation. The Future Value of Fully Projected Benefits represents the total expected value of all future benefit payments for all members as of the valuation date. The Present Value of Fully Projected

Benefits represents today's value of the Future Value of Fully Projected Benefits when we discount future benefit payments with the valuation interest rate. In other words, if we invest the Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits as a lump sum amount at the valuation date and earn the valuation interest rate each year, there would be enough money to pay all future benefit payments for current members.

The Present Value of Accrued (Earned) Benefits identifies the portion of the Present Value of Future Benefits that has been earned as of the valuation date based on the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) actuarial cost method. The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) represents the excess, if any, of the Present Value of Accrued (Earned) Benefits at the valuation date over the Actuarial Value of Assets. In other words, the UAAL equals the present value of benefits earned the valuation date not covered by current actuarial assets.

| Actuarial Liabilities |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2011 |  |
| Future Value of Fully Projected Benefits | $\$ 65,000$ | $\$ 64,201$ |
| Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits | $\$ 8,720$ | $\$ 8,204$ |
| Present Value of Accrued Benefits | $\$ 5,576$ | $\$ 5,078$ |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | N/A | N/A |
| Valuation Interest Rate | $7.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |

See the Actuarial Exhibits section of this report for additional information on the plan's actuarial liabilities and a disclosure of expected future benefit payments by year. Also, see the Glossary for brief explanations of the actuarial terms.

## Plan Assets

The table to the right shows the Market Value of Assets and Actuarial (or smoothed) Value of Assets along with approximate rates of investment return. To limit the volatility in contribution rates and funded status due to short-term market volatility, we smooth (or defer) the difference between actual and expected annual investment returns over a period not to exceed eight years. The Actuarial Value of Assets equals the Market Value of Assets less the Total Deferred Investment Gains and (Losses) at the valuation date. The Actuarial Value of Assets can never be

| Assets |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Market Value of Assets | $\$ 6,366$ | $\$ 5,081$ |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 6,621 | 6,043 |
| Contributions* | 263 | 257 |
| Disbursements | 71 | 58 |
| Investment Return | 1,084 | 569 |
| Other** | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 6$ |
| Rate of Return on Assets*** | $21.14 \%$ | $13.21 \%$ |

*Employee and Employer.
**Includes transfers, restorations, payables, etc.
${ }^{* * *}$ This is the time-weighted rate of return on the Market Value of Assets, net of expenses. The Actuarial Value of Assets is used in determining contribution rates. less than 70 percent or greater than 130 percent of the Market Value of Assets.

See the Actuarial Exhibits section of this report for additional information on the plan's assets as well as the development of the Actuarial Value of Assets.

## Funded Status

The funded status helps readers evaluate the health of a pension plan. A history of funded status measured consistently over a defined period helps readers evaluate a plan's funding progress over time. The funded status represents the portion of the present value of earned benefits covered by today's actuarial assets. A plan with a 100 percent funded status has one dollar in actuarial assets for each dollar of earned (or accrued) liability at the valuation date. A plan more/less than 100 percent funded is not automatically considered over-funded/at-risk.

We use the PUC actuarial cost method to report the funded status of the plan. The PUC method takes into account future salary and service growth for purposes of determining future benefit amounts and eligibility for those benefits, but only reflects service credit earned at the valuation date for determining earned (or accrued) benefits.

Comparing the PUC liabilities to the Actuarial Value of Assets provides an appropriate measure of a plan's funded status. Under current GASB rules, the PUC method is one of several acceptable measures of a plan's funded status. Use of another cost method could also be considered appropriate and could produce materially different results.

We did not use the PUC cost method to determine contribution requirements in this valuation. Please see the Glossary for a more detailed explanation of PUC.

The table below displays the funded status for LEOFF Plan 2. We also provide a history of funded status since 1986 and funded status under alternate assumptions and methods in the Actuarial Exhibits section.

| Funded Status |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  |  |  |
| a. Present Value of Accrued Benefits | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| b. Market Value of Assets | $\$ 5,576$ | $\$ 5,078$ |  |
| c. Deferred Gains/(Losses) | $\$ 6,366$ | $\$ 5,081$ |  |
| d. Actuarial Value of Assets (b-c) | $\$ 255)$ | $(\$ 961)$ |  |
| e. Unfunded Liability (a-d) | $\$ 6,621$ | $\$ 6,043$ |  |
| f. Funded Ratio (d/a) | $(\$ 1,044)$ | $(\$ 965)$ |  |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.

## Participant Data

The table to the right summarizes the participant data used in the actuarial valuation for the plan year ending June 30, 2011, along with comparable information from last year's valuation. See the Participant Data section of this report for additional information.

| Participant Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Active Members |  |  |
| Number | 16,805 | 16,775 |
| Total Salaries (in millions) | \$1,535 | \$1,490 |
| Average Annual Salary | \$91,322 | \$88,828 |
| Average Attained Age | 42.7 | 42.2 |
| Average Service | 13.8 | 13.3 |
| Retirees and Beneficiaries |  |  |
| Number | 2,015 | 1,639 |
| Average Annual Benefit | \$32,486 | \$29,851 |
| Terminated Members |  |  |
| Number Vested | 655 | 781 |
| Number "Non-Vested" | 1,617 | 1,707 |

## Key Assumptions

The table to the right displays key economic assumptions used in the actuarial valuation for the plan year ending June 30, 2011. See the Actuarial Methods and Assumptions in the Appendix for a detailed listing of assumptions used in this valuation.

| Key Assumptions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Valuation Interest Rate | $7.50 \%$ |
| Salary Increase | $3.75 \%$ |
| Inflation | $3.00 \%$ |
| Growth in Membership* | $1.25 \%$ |

*Applies to the LEOFF 1 funding method only.

# Section Two <br> Actuarial Exhibits 



## Office of the State Actuary

"Securing tomorrow's pensions today."

Actuarial Certification Letter Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System Plan 2 Actuarial Valuation Report<br>As of June 30, 2011

September 2012

This report documents the results of an actuarial valuation of the Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System Plan 2 (LEOFF 2) as defined under Chapter 41.26 of the Revised Code of Washington. The primary purpose of this valuation is to determine contribution requirements for the retirement plan as of the June 30, 2011, valuation date consistent with the prescribed funding policy established by the LEOFF 2 Retirement Board (the Board). This valuation also provides information on the funding progress and developments in the plan over the past year. This valuation report should not be used for other purposes. Please replace this report with a more recent report when available.

The valuation results summarized in this report involve calculations that require assumptions about future economic and demographic events. We believe that the assumptions and methods used in the underlying valuation are reasonable and appropriate for the primary purpose stated above. The use of another set of assumptions and methods, however, could also be reasonable and could produce materially different results. Actual results may vary from our expectations.

The assumptions used in this valuation for investment return, inflation, and salary growth were adopted by the Board in the 2011 Interim. The membership growth assumption was prescribed by the Legislature. The Board adopted updates to the demographic assumptions as part of their review of the 2001-2006 Experience Study results and adoption of the associated contribution rates. Additionally, the Board adopted new disability assumptions in the 2010 Interim. See the LEOFF 2 Disability Experience Study available on the LEOFF 2 website. The Legislature was responsible for the selection of the actuarial cost and asset valuation methods. In our opinion, all methods, assumptions, and calculations are reasonable and are in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and standards of practice as of the date of this publication.

The Department of Retirement Systems (DRS) provided us with member and beneficiary data. We checked the data for reasonableness as appropriate based on the purpose of the valuation. The Washington State Investment Board (WSIB) and DRS provided financial and asset information. An audit of the financial and participant data

[^0]Phone: 360.786.6140
Fax: 360.586.8135
TDD: 711

## Actuarial Certification Letter

Page 2 of 2
was not performed. We relied on all the information provided as complete and accurate. In our opinion, this information is adequate and substantially complete for purposes of this valuation.

The asset smoothing method adopted during the 2003 Legislative session (Chapter 11, Laws of 2003, E1) was intended to address the volatility of contribution rates under the aggregate funding method when used in combination with the existing asset allocation policy of WSIB. The combination of the current asset smoothing method with any other funding method or asset allocation policy may not be appropriate.

During the 2012 Interim, the Board adopted a stable contribution rate policy for 2013-17 to manage the risk of increasing contribution rates in the future. This temporary funding policy produces contribution rates, at June 30, 2011, that exceed the requirements under the plan's actuarial cost method and long-term funding policy. In our opinion, this temporary funding policy is reasonable and consistent with the Board's risk management goals. The adoption of contribution rates below the current stable rates for 2013-17 could also be reasonable, but potentially inconsistent with the Board's risk management goals.

The undersigned, with actuarial credentials, meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. While this report is intended to be complete, we are available to offer extra advice and explanations as needed.

Sincerely,


Matthew M. Smith, FCA, EA, MAAA
State Actuary


Lisa A. Won, ASA, FCA, MAAA Actuary

## Contribution Rates

| Member and Employer Rate Summary |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Member | $7.57 \%$ | $7.57 \%$ |
| Employer* | $4.54 \%$ | $4.54 \%$ |
| State (Normal Cost) | $3.03 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ |
| State (Plan 1 UAAL) | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total State | $3.03 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ |

*Excludes administrative expense rate.

## Development of Employer/State Rates

LEOFF 2
a. Total Normal Cost $15.14 \%$
b. Employee Normal Cost (a x 50\%) 7.57\%
c. Total Employer/State Normal Cost (a - b) 7.57\%
d. State Normal Cost (a x 20\%) 3.03\%
e. Employer Normal Cost (c-d)* $4.54 \%$
f. Cost to Amortize UAAL $0.00 \%$
g. Total Employer Contribution Rate $(\mathrm{e}+\mathrm{f})^{* *} \quad 4.54 \%$
*Excludes administrative expense rate.
**The state pays $20 \%$ of the total normal cost for LEOFF 2. This reduces the total employer contribution rate from $7.57 \%$ to $4.54 \%$.

The tables on the following page show the development of the normal cost rates. Consistent with the Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' (LEOFF) Retirement System Plan 2 Retirement Board's (the Board) funding policy, the normal cost rates include minimum contribution rates to provide stable and adequate contribution rates over time. The minimum rates are 90 percent of the normal cost calculated under the Entry Age Normal (EAN) funding method. Please see the Glossary for a more detailed explanation of EAN.

| Development of Normal Cost Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | LEOFF 2 |
| 1. Calculation of Member Normal Cost Rate |  |
| a. Future Value of Fully Projected Benefits | \$65,000 |
| b. Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits | 8,718 |
| c. Valuation Assets | 6,621 |
| d. Unfunded Fully Projected Benefits (b-c) | 2,097 |
| e. Plan 1 Present Value of Future Salaries (PVS) | N/A |
| f. Plan 2 PVS | 16,910 |
| g. Weighted PVS $(2 e+2 f)$ | \$33,821 |
| h. Employee Normal Cost (d/g) | 6.20\% |
| i. Employee Minimum Contribution Rate | 7.57\% |
| j. Employee Contribution Rate with Minimum | 7.57\% |
| k. Change In Plan Provisions (Laws of 2012) | 0.00\% |
| I. Employee Contribution Rate ( $\mathrm{j}+\mathrm{k}$ ) | 7.57\% |
| 2. Calculation of Employer/State Normal Cost Rate |  |
| a. Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits | \$8,718 |
| b. Valuation Assets | 6,621 |
| c. Unfunded Fully Projected Benefits (a-b) | 2,097 |
| d. Present Value of Employee Contributions | 1,049 |
| e. Employer/State Responsibility ( $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ) | \$1,049 |
| f. Plan 2 PVS | \$16,910 |
| g. Employer/State Normal Cost (e / f) | 6.20\% |
| h. Employer/State Minimum Contribution Rate | 7.57\% |
| i. Employer/State Contribution Rate with Minimum | 7.57\% |
| j. Change In Plan Provisions (Laws of 2012) | 0.00\% |
| k. Total Employer/State Contribution Rate ( $\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{j}$ ) | 7.57\% |
| 3. Contribution Rates Adopted for 2013-17* |  |
| a. Employee Contribution Rate** | 8.41\% |
| b. Employer Contribution Rate (a-c)** | 5.05\% |
| c. State Contribution Rate** | 3.36\% |
| d. Total Contribution Rate ( $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$ ) | 16.82\% |
| Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. <br> * LEOFF 2 rates adopted by the LEOFF 2 Board. <br> **LEOFF 2 rate: 50\% Employee, 30\% Employer, 20\% State. |  |


| Amortization of the Plan 1 <br> Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | LEOFF 1 |  |
| a. | Future Value of Fully Projected Benefits | $\$ 10,328$ |
| b. | Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits (PVFB) | 4,150 |
| c | Valuation Assets | 5,565 |
| d. | Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs | 0 |
| e. | UAAL (b - c-d) | $(1,415)$ |
| f. | Expected UAAL Contributions to 2013 | 0 |
| g. | Remaining UAAL (e - f) | $(\$ 1,415)$ |
| h. | Amortization Date | $6 / 30 / 2024$ |
| i. | Present Value of Projected Salaries beyond 2013 | $\$ 14,911$ |
| j. | Preliminary Contribution Rate (g/ i)* | $(9.49 \%)$ |
| k. | Change In Plan Provisions (Laws of 2012) | $0.00 \%$ |
| l. | Contribution Rate to Amortize the UAAL (j + k) | $(9.49 \%)$ |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*No LEOFF 1 UAAL contributions are required when the plan is fully funded under current funding methods and assumptions.

## Actuarial Liabilities

| Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | LEOFF 2 |
| Active Members |  |
| Retirement | \$6,832 |
| Termination | 90 |
| Death | 65 |
| Disability | 402 |
| Return of Contributions on Termination | 79 |
| Return of Contributions on Death | 84 |
| Total Active | \$7,552 |
| Inactive Members |  |
| Terminated | \$130 |
| Service Retired | 901 |
| Disability Retired | 84 |
| Survivors | 50 |
| Total Inactive | \$1,166 |
| Laws of 2012 | 2 |
| 2011 Total | \$8,720 |
| 2010 Total | \$8,204 |
| Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. |  |
| Present Value of Accrued (Earned) Benefits* |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) | LEOFF 2 |
| Active Members |  |
| Retirement | \$3,962 |
| Termination | 53 |
| Death | 46 |
| Disability | 254 |
| Return of Contributions on Termination | 46 |
| Return of Contributions on Death | 48 |
| Total Active | \$4,409 |
| Inactive Members |  |
| Terminated | \$130 |
| Service Retired | 901 |
| Disability Retired | 84 |
| Survivors | 50 |
| Total Inactive | \$1,166 |
| Laws of 2012 | 1 |
| 2011 Total | \$5,576 |
| 2010 Total | \$5,078 |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*Calculated using the PUC cost method.
This method was not used to determine contribution requirements.

| Fully Projected Benefit Payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF - Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) | Future | Present |  | Future | Present |
| Year | Value | Value | Year | Value | Value |
| 2011 | \$96 | \$93 | 2061 | \$1,008 | \$26 |
| 2012 | 123 | 110 | 2062 | 933 | 23 |
| 2013 | 153 | 128 | 2063 | 859 | 19 |
| 2014 | 186 | 144 | 2064 | 786 | 16 |
| 2015 | 222 | 160 | 2065 | 714 | 14 |
| 2016 | 261 | 175 | 2066 | 645 | 12 |
| 2017 | 301 | 188 | 2067 | 577 | 10 |
| 2018 | 344 | 200 | 2068 | 513 | 8 |
| 2019 | 391 | 212 | 2069 | 452 | 7 |
| 2020 | 440 | 221 | 2070 | 394 | 5 |
| 2021 | 491 | 230 | 2071 | 340 | 4 |
| 2022 | 548 | 238 | 2072 | 291 | 3 |
| 2023 | 609 | 246 | 2073 | 246 | 3 |
| 2024 | 672 | 253 | 2074 | 205 | 2 |
| 2025 | 737 | 258 | 2075 | 169 | 2 |
| 2026 | 804 | 262 | 2076 | 137 | 1 |
| 2027 | 875 | 265 | 2077 | 110 | 1 |
| 2028 | 947 | 267 | 2078 | 87 | 1 |
| 2029 | 1,020 | 268 | 2079 | 67 | 0 |
| 2030 | 1,094 | 267 | 2080 | 51 | 0 |
| 2031 | 1,166 | 265 | 2081 | 39 | 0 |
| 2032 | 1,239 | 262 | 2082 | 29 | 0 |
| 2033 | 1,311 | 258 | 2083 | 21 | 0 |
| 2034 | 1,380 | 252 | 2084 | 15 | 0 |
| 2035 | 1,446 | 246 | 2085 | 10 | 0 |
| 2036 | 1,508 | 238 | 2086 | 7 | 0 |
| 2037 | 1,565 | 230 | 2087 | 5 | 0 |
| 2038 | 1,619 | 222 | 2088 | 3 | 0 |
| 2039 | 1,668 | 212 | 2089 | 2 | 0 |
| 2040 | 1,707 | 202 | 2090 | 1 | 0 |
| 2041 | 1,739 | 192 | 2091 | 1 | 0 |
| 2042 | 1,764 | 181 | 2092 | 1 | 0 |
| 2043 | 1,781 | 170 | 2093 | 0 | 0 |
| 2044 | 1,791 | 159 | 2094 | 0 | 0 |
| 2045 | 1,790 | 148 | 2095 | 0 | 0 |
| 2046 | 1,781 | 137 | 2096 | 0 | 0 |
| 2047 | 1,766 | 126 | 2097 | 0 | 0 |
| 2048 | 1,745 | 116 | 2098 | 0 | 0 |
| 2049 | 1,717 | 106 | 2099 | 0 | 0 |
| 2050 | 1,681 | 97 | 2100 | 0 | 0 |
| 2051 | 1,639 | 88 | 2101 | 0 | 0 |
| 2052 | 1,593 | 79 | 2102 | 0 | 0 |
| 2053 | 1,542 | 71 | 2103 | 0 | 0 |
| 2054 | 1,485 | 64 | 2104 | 0 | 0 |
| 2055 | 1,426 | 57 | 2105 | 0 | 0 |
| 2056 | 1,363 | 51 | 2106 | 0 | 0 |
| 2057 | 1,296 | 45 | 2107 | 0 | 0 |
| 2058 | 1,226 | 40 | 2108 | 0 | 0 |
| 2059 | 1,155 | 35 | 2109 | 0 | 0 |
| 2060 | \$1,082 | \$30 | 2110 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total | \$65,000 | \$8,720 |

## Plan Assets

Retirement Commingled Trust Fund (CTF) Asset Allocation


Cash: Highly liquid, very safe investments that can be easily converted into cash, such as Treasury Bills and money-market funds.

Fixed Income: Securities representing debt obligations and usually having fixed payments and maturities. Different types of fixed income securities include government and corporate bonds, mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, convertible issues, and may also include moneymarket instruments.

Innovation: Fund that provides the ability to invest in a broad range of assets that fall outside the traditional asset classes or management style of existing asset classes.

Public Equity: Shares of U.S. and non-U.S. corporations that trade on public exchanges or "over-thecounter." The ownership of a corporation is represented by shares that are claimed on the corporation's earnings and assets.

Private Equity: The infusion of equity capital into a private company (one that is not available on the public markets). Private equity investments include securities that are not listed on a public exchange and are not easily accessible to most individuals. These investments range from initial capital in start-up enterprises to leveraged buyouts of mature corporations.

Real Estate: An externally-managed selection of partnership investments with the majority of the partnerships invested in high-quality real estate leased to third parties.

Tangibles: The tangible asset portfolio invests in sectors such as infrastructure, timber, agriculture, natural resources, commodities, or other sectors consistent with the goals of the asset class.

| Change in Market Value of Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | LEOFF 2 |
| 2010 Market Value | \$5,081 |
| Revenue |  |
| Contributions |  |
| Employee | 131 |
| Employer/State | 132 |
| Total Contributions | 263 |
| Investment Return | 1,084 |
| Restorations | 5 |
| Transfers In | 3 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 |
| Total Revenue | \$1,355 |
| Disbursements |  |
| Monthly Benefits | 62 |
| Refunds | 8 |
| Total Benefits | 70 |
| Transfers Out | 0 |
| Expenses | 1 |
| Total Disbursements | \$71 |
| Payables | \$0 |
| 2011 Market Value | \$6,366 |
| 2011 Actuarial Value | \$6,621 |
| Ratio (AV/MV) | 104\% |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.

## Calculation of Actuarial Value of Assets

(Dollars in Millions)
a. Market Value at $6 / 30 / 2011$ \$6,366
b. Deferred Gains and (Losses)

|  | Plan Year Ending | Years Deferred | Years Remaining |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2011 | 8 | 7 | 612 |
|  | 6/30/2010 | 5 | 3 | 131 |
|  | 6/30/2009 | 8 | 5 | $(1,033)$ |
|  | 6/30/2008 | 8 | 4 | (246) |
|  | 6/30/2007 | 8 | 3 | 174 |
|  | 9/30/2006 | 8 | 2 | 71 |
|  | 9/30/2005 | 8 | 1 | 36 |
|  | Total Deferral |  |  | (\$255) |
| c. | Market Value less Deferral (a-b) |  |  | \$6,621 |
| d. | 70\% of Market Value of Assets |  |  | \$4,456 |
| e. | 130\% of Market Value of Assets |  |  | \$8,275 |
| f. | Actuarial Value of Assets* |  |  | \$6,621 |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*Actuarial Value of Assets can never be less than $70 \%$ or greater than $130 \%$ of the market value of assets.

| Investment Gains and (Losses) for Prior Year |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | LEOFF 2 |  |
| a. $\quad 2010$ Market Value (at WSIB) | $\$ 5,066$ |  |
| b. | Total Cash Flow | 198 |
| c. | 2011 Market Value (at WSIB) | 6,349 |
| d. | Actual Return (c - b - a) | $\$ 1,085$ |
| e. | Weighted Asset Amount | $\$ 5,150$ |
| f. | Expected Return (7.5\% x e) | 386 |
| g. | Investment Gain/(Loss) for Prior Year (d - f) | 699 |
| h. | Dollar-Weighted Rate of Return | $21.08 \%$ |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.

## Funded Status

We report a plan's funded status by comparing the plan's current assets to the present value of earned pensions of its members. A plan's funded status can vary significantly, depending on the assumptions and methods used to determine the value of the plan's assets and liabilities. For this valuation report, we present two funded status measures.

The first funded status measure compares the Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) to the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) liabilities calculated using a long-term interest assumption. The second measure compares the Market Value of Assets (MVA) to the PUC liabilities calculated using a short-term interest assumption. The next sections describe these measures in more detail and display the resulting funded status for the plan. Please see the Glossary for an explanation of the PUC actuarial cost method.

We include information for LEOFF Plan 1 because the prior funding policy required the state to amortize any LEOFF 1 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) not later than June 30, 2024, using projected salaries of both LEOFF 1 and LEOFF 2 members.

## Funded Status on an Actuarial Value Basis

We report the funded status on an actuarial value basis as the ratio of the AVA to the PUC liability calculated using the 7.50 percent valuation interest rate assumption. We assume the plan is ongoing and, therefore, we use the same long-term assumptions to develop the liabilities as we used for determining the contribution requirements of the plan. We don't expect the assumptions to match actual experience over short-term periods. However, we do expect these assumptions to reasonably approximate average annual experience over long-term periods. This measure of funded status is consistent with the state's current funding policy and financing plan for future retirement benefits.

We use an asset valuation method to determine the AVA. This asset valuation method smooths the inherent volatility in the MVA by deferring a portion of annual investment gains or losses for a certain number of years. Investment gains and losses occur when the annual return on investments varies from the long-term assumed rate of 7.5 percent. The AVA provides a more stable measure of the plan's assets on an on-going basis.

We use the PUC actuarial cost method to determine the present value of earned pensions. The PUC liabilities are actuarial liabilities based on members' earned service credit as of the valuation date. They include future assumed salary increases and reflect future service credits for determining benefit
eligibility. The PUC liabilities are discounted to the valuation date using the valuation interest rate to determine the present value (today's value). The valuation interest rate is consistent with the long-term expected return on invested contributions.

Comparing the PUC liabilities to the AVA provides an appropriate measure of a plan's funded status. Under current Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules, the PUC method is one of several acceptable measures of a plan's funded status. Use of another cost method could also be considered appropriate and could produce materially different results. A plan more/less than 100 percent funded is not automatically considered over-funded/at-risk.

The table below displays the funded status on an actuarial value basis for LEOFF.

| Funded Status on an Actuarial Value Basis* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 |
| PUC Liability |  | \$5,576 | \$4,135 |
| Valuation Assets |  | \$6,621 | \$5,565 |
| Unfunded Liability |  | $(\$ 1,044)$ | $(\$ 1,430)$ |
| Funded Ratio |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 119\% | 135\% |
|  | 2010 ** | 119\% | 127\% |
|  | 2009 ** | 128\% | 125\% |
|  | 2008 ** | 133\% | 128\% |
|  | 2007 ** | 129\% | 123\% |
|  | 2006 ** | 116\% | 117\% |
|  | 2005 ** | 114\% | 114\% |
|  | 2004 | 117\% | 109\% |
|  | 2003 | 125\% | 112\% |
|  | 2002 | 137\% | 119\% |
|  | 2001 ** | 154\% | 129\% |
|  | 2000 ** | 161\% | 136\% |
|  | 1999 | 154\% | 125\% |
|  | 1998 | 160\% | 117\% |
|  | 1997 ** | 155\% | 108\% |
|  | 1996 | 130\% | 89\% |
|  | 1995 | 126\% | 80\% |
|  | 1994 ** | 124\% | 68\% |
|  | 1993 | 127\% | 68\% |
|  | 1992 | 128\% | 65\% |
|  | 1991 | 154\% | 66\% |
|  | 1990 | 153\% | 65\% |
|  | 1989 ** | 158\% | 65\% |
|  | 1988 | 153\% | 66\% |
|  | 1987 | 157\% | 69\% |
|  | 1986 | 142\% | 57\% |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. See the 2011 AVR for development of LEOFF 1 values.
*Liabilities valued using the PUC cost method at an interest rate of $7.5 \%$ for LEOFF 2, 7.9\% for LEOFF 1. All assets have been valued under the actuarial asset method.
**Assumptions changed.

The present value of actuarial liabilities is sensitive to the interest rate assumption. The following tables show how the funded status changes when we use different interest rate assumptions. We calculated liabilities using varying interest rates to show this sensitivity.

| (Dollars in Millions) |  | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUC Liability |  | \$6,645 | \$4,523 |
| Valuation Assets |  | \$6,621 | \$5,565 |
| Unfunded Liability |  | \$24 | $(\$ 1,043)$ |
| Funded Ratio |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 100\% | 123\% |
|  | 2010 | 99\% | 116\% |
|  | 2009 | 107\% | 114\% |
|  | 2008 | 111\% | 117\% |
|  | 2007 | 107\% | 111\% |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. See the 2011 AVR for development of LEOFF 1 values.
*Liabilities valued using the PUC cost method at an interest rate of $6.5 \%$ for LEOFF 2, $6.9 \%$ for LEOFF 1. All assets have been valued under the actuarial asset method.

| (Dollars in Millions) |  | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUC Liability |  | \$4,737 | \$3,802 |
| Valuation Assets |  | \$6,621 | \$5,565 |
| Unfunded Liability |  | $(\$ 1,884)$ | $(\$ 1,763)$ |
| Funded Ratio |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 140\% | 146\% |
|  | 2010 | 141\% | 139\% |
|  | 2009 | 152\% | 137\% |
|  | 2008 | 159\% | 141\% |
|  | 2007 | 154\% | 135\% |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. See the 2011 AVR for development of LEOFF 1 values.
*Liabilities valued using the PUC cost method at an interest rate of 8.5\% for LEOFF 2, $8.9 \%$ for LEOFF 1. All assets have been valued under the actuarial asset method.

## Funded Status on a Market Value Basis

We report the funded status on a market value basis as the ratio of the MVA to the PUC liability calculated using a 5 percent interest rate assumption. The funded status on a market value basis provides a measure of the plan's health if the plan is "settled" or "immunized" on the valuation date. Immunizing a pension plan means attaching assets to liabilities so the assets maturing each year match the expected pension payments due from the pension plan each year. A plan can be settled by purchasing annuities on the open market for each member, or immunized by investing the assets in bonds with payment streams that match the expected benefit payments. Expected benefit payments would include growth for future salary inflation, which is why we have used the PUC liability measure instead of a purely accrued liability measure.

Because LEOFF 2 is open and on-going, we only present the market value funded status for the closed LEOFF 1. Although LEOFF 1 is closed to new members, it is not settled and has not been immunized. However, there is an opportunity to immunize the plan in the future. LEOFF 1 is considered an ongoing plan because current annuitants continue to receive their benefits from the retirement trust fund, and current active members continue to accrue benefits under the plan. However, because the plan is closed to new members, the future benefit payments are more predictable, have a shorter duration, and would be easier to immunize. The decision to settle or immunize LEOFF 1 is complex and would require additional actuarial analysis and information that is outside the scope of this report.

The following table displays the market value funded status for LEOFF 1 as described above.

| Funded Status on a Market Value Basis* |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  |  |
| Projected Unit Credit Liability |  | LEOFF 1 |
| Market Value of Assets | $\$ 5,458$ |  |
| Unfunded Liability | $\$ 5,185$ |  |
| Funded Ratio | $\$ 273$ |  |
|  | 2011 | $95 \%$ |
|  | 2010 | $82 \%$ |
|  | 2009 | $76 \%$ |
|  | 2008 | $107 \%$ |
|  | 2007 | $114 \%$ |
|  | 2006 | $102 \%$ |
|  | 2005 | $94 \%$ |
|  | 2004 | $82 \%$ |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*Liabilities have been valued using an interest rate of $5 \%$ while assets are their market value. The 5\% interest rate approximates the "risk-free" rate of return on assets while maintaining consistency with the $3 \%$ inflation assumption used to project future benefit payments. This method was not used to determine contribution requirements. Prior to 2011, liabilities were valued at $5.5 \%$.

Both funded status measures vary based on the measurement (valuation) date and the market conditions on that date. The market value measure, however, is more volatile because the asset value has no smoothing and the ability to immunize the plan depends on current bond and annuity purchase rates.

## Actuarial Gains/Losses

The next three tables display actuarial gains and losses, expressed as contribution rate changes. Actuaries use gain/loss analysis to compare actual changes to assumed changes in assets, liabilities, and salaries from various sources. We also use this analysis to determine:

- The accuracy of our valuation model and annual processing.
- Why contribution rates changed.
- The reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions.

Actuarial gains will reduce contribution rates; actuarial losses will increase contribution rates. Under a reasonable set of actuarial assumptions, actuarial gains and losses will offset over long-term experience periods.

Change in State Contribution Rate by Source*

## Change in Employer Rate <br> LEOFF

2010 Contribution Rate Before Laws of 2011
Remove Rate Floor / Ceiling

Asset Gains/Losses 0.56\%

Present Value of Future Salaries Gains/Losses (0.23\%)
Incremental Changes
(1.11\%)

Other Gains/Losses 0.05\%
Total Change (1.40\%)

2011 Preliminary Contribution Rate (7.01\%)
Increase from Applied Rate Floor 0.55\%
Decrease from Applied Rate Ceiling $0.00 \%$
Laws of 2012 0.00\%
2011 Adjusted Contribution Rate (6.46\%)
*The LEOFF contribution rate is the State's portion for Plan 2 (20\% of the Normal Cost) plus the UAAL rate for Plan 1.

| Change in Normal Cost by Source* |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Change in Normal Costs | LEOFF 2 |
| 2010 Normal Cost Before Laws of 2011 | 3.03\% |
| Remove Rate Floor / Ceiling | (0.48\%) |
| 2010 Adjusted Normal Cost Rate | 2.55\% |
| Liabilities |  |
| Salaries | (0.20\%) |
| Termination | 0.00\% |
| Retirement | (0.01\%) |
| Growth / Return to Work | 0.14\% |
| Other Liabilities | 0.03\% |
| Total Liability Gains/Losses | (0.04\%) |
| Asset Gains/Losses | 0.00\% |
| Present Value of Future Salaries Gains/Losses | (0.04\%) |
| Incremental Changes |  |
| Plan Change | 0.00\% |
| Method Change | 0.00\% |
| Assumption Change | 0.00\% |
| Correction Change | 0.00\% |
| Total Incremental Changes Gains/Losses | 0.00\% |
| Other Gains/Losses | 0.01\% |
| Total Change | (0.07\%) |
| 2011 Preliminary Normal Cost | 2.48\% |
| Increase from Applied Rate Floor | 0.55\% |
| Laws of 2012 | 0.00\% |
| 2011 Adjusted Normal Cost | 3.03\% |
| *The LEOFF 2 contribution rate is the State's portion for Plan 2 ( $20 \%$ of the Normal Cost) . |  |


| Change in State UAAL Rate by Source* |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Change in UAAL Rate | LEOFF 1 |
| 2010 UAAL Rate Before Laws of 2011 | (8.16\%) |
| Remove Rate Floor / Ceiling | 0.00\% |
| 2010 Adjusted UAAL Rate | (8.16\%) |
| Liabilities |  |
| Salaries | (0.04\%) |
| Termination | 0.00\% |
| Retirement | (0.03\%) |
| Return to Work | 0.00\% |
| Inflation (CPI) | (0.74\%) |
| Other Liabilities | 0.18\% |
| Total Liability Gains/Losses | (0.63\%) |
| Asset Gains/Losses | 0.56\% |
| Present Value of Future Salaries Gains/Losses | (0.19\%) |
| Incremental Changes |  |
| Plan Change | 0.00\% |
| Method Change | 0.00\% |
| Assumption Change | (1.11\%) |
| Correction Change | 0.00\% |
| Total Incremental Changes Gains/Losses | (1.11\%) |
| Other Gains/Losses | 0.04\% |
| Total Change | (1.33\%) |
| 2011 Preliminary UAAL Rate | (9.49\%) |
| Increase from Applied Rate Ceiling | 0.00\% |
| Laws of 2012 | 0.00\% |
| 2011 Adjusted UAAL Rate | (9.49\%) |

*The contribution rate is the UAAL rate for plan 1. No contributions to LEOFF 1 are required under current law when the plan remains fully funded.

## Section 2: Actuarial Exhibits

## Effect of Plan, Assumption, and Method Changes

In addition to experience gains or losses, changes in plan provisions or actuarial assumptions or methods can also impact contribution rates.

## Plan Changes

- Fish and Wildlife Enforcement Officers Service Credit Transfer (Chapter 248, Laws of 2012).


## Assumption Changes

- We changed the medical inflation assumptions associated with non-pension benefits payable to members and survivors in LEOFF.


## Method Changes

- None.


## Effect of Changes on the Current Valuation

The following table shows the effect of the above changes on the current actuarial valuation report results.

| Effect of Plan, Assumption, and Method Changes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Before Changes | LEOFF 2 |
| PVFB | \$8,706 |
| PUC Liability | 5,565 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 6,621 |
| Unfunded Liability | $(1,056)$ |
| Employer Contribution Rate* | 4.54\% |
| After Changes |  |
| PVFB | \$8,720 |
| PUC Liability | 5,576 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 6,621 |
| Unfunded Liability | $(1,044)$ |
| Employer Contribution Rate* | 4.54\% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Rate | 0.00\% |
| Before and after changes include actuarial gains and losses for the year ending 6/30/2011. Both before and after contribution rates include rate minimums. |  |
|  |  |
| *The contribution rate is the Employer's portion only (30\% of the Plan 2 Normal Cost). |  |



## Overview of System Membership

Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' (LEOFF) Retirement System Plan 2 (Chapter 41.26 RCW).
Membership includes fire fighters; emergency medical technicians; law enforcement officers including sheriffs; university, port, and city police officers; and Department of Fish and Wildlife enforcement officers.

| Active Membership By Employer |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Agencies | 123 |
| Higher Education | 104 |
| Community Colleges | 0 |
| K-12 | 0 |
| Counties | 2,741 |
| County Sub Divisions | 219 |
| First Class Cities | 4,982 |
| Other Cities | 4,966 |
| Ports | 179 |
| Education Service District | 0 |
| Fire Districts | 3,491 |
| Public Utility District | 0 |
| Water Districts | 0 |
| Energy Northwest | 0 |
| Unions | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 0 5}$ |

The following table summarizes participant data changes from last year's valuation to this year's valuation. We divide the participant data into two main categories.

- Actives - members accruing benefits in the plan.
- Annuitants - members and beneficiaries receiving benefits from the plan.

| Reconciliation of Participant Data |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Actives | 16,775 |
| Transfers | 0 |
| Hires/Rehires | 615 |
| New Retirees | $(291)$ |
| Deaths | $(20)$ |
| Terminations | $(274)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ Actives | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 0 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Annuitants | 1,639 |
| New Retirees | 350 |
| Annuitant Deaths | $(11)$ |
| New Survivors | 39 |
| Other | $(2)$ |
| 2011 Annuitants | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 5}$ |
| Ratio of Actives to Annuitants | $\mathbf{8 . 3 4}$ |

## Summary of Plan Participants

| Summary of Plan Participants |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Active Members |  |  |
| Number | 16,805 | 16,775 |
| Total Salaries (Millions) | \$1,535 | \$1,490 |
| Average Age | 42.7 | 42.2 |
| Average Service | 13.8 | 13.3 |
| Average Salary | \$91,322 | \$88,828 |
| Terminated Members |  |  |
| Number Vested | 655 | 781 |
| Number "Non-Vested" | 1,617 | 1,707 |
| Retirees |  |  |
| Number of Retirees (All) | 2,015 | 1,639 |
| Average Monthly Benefit, All Retirees | \$2,707 | \$2,488 |
| Number of New "Service Retirees" | 317 | 237 |
| Average Monthly Benefit, New "Service Retirees" | \$3,716 | \$3,228 |

## Section Four Appendices



## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

To calculate the contribution rates necessary to pre-fund the plan's benefits, an actuary uses an actuarial cost method, asset valuation method, economic assumptions, and demographic assumptions.

## Actuarial Cost Methods

The future benefit obligations (or costs of the plan) are spread over the working lifetimes of the plan members based on the actuarial cost method (or funding method) in place for the plan. This produces a future stream of contributions to pre-fund the plan's benefits. Different cost methods pre-fund plans at different rates. Some put more money in earlier whereas others put more money in later.

Actuarial cost methods generally have two parts, which serve to:

- Fund future benefits in a consistent manner from year to year.
- Make up for any shortfalls in prior funding, including differences in funding when experience differs from assumptions.

The two parts of an actuarial cost method are:

- The Normal Cost - the value of future benefits allocated to the current plan year under the actuarial cost method.
- Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) - where the UAAL represents the amount of past service liability that exceeds the value of the plan's assets.

The Legislature was responsible for the selection of the actuarial cost and asset valuation methods. The actuarial cost methods used for the Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' (LEOFF) Retirement System are as follows.

LEOFF Plan 1: A variation of the Frozen Initial Liability Cost Method is used to determine the normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability for retirement, termination, and ancillary benefits. Under this method, the UAAL is equal to the unfunded actuarial present value of projected benefits less the actuarial present value of future normal costs for all active members and is reset at each valuation date. The present value of future normal costs is based on the Aggregate normal cost rate for Plan 2 and the resulting UAAL is amortized by June 30, 2024, as a level percentage of projected system payroll. The projected payroll includes pay from Plan 2 as well as projected payroll from future new entrants.

LEOFF Plan 2: We use the Aggregate Cost Method to determine the normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability. Under this method, the unfunded actuarial present value of fully projected benefits is amortized over the future payroll of the active group. Members pay 50 percent of the total normal cost. The entire contribution is considered normal cost and no UAAL exists.

We use the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) cost method to report the plan's funded status. The PUC cost method projects future benefits under the plan, using salary growth and other assumptions, and applies the service that has been earned as of the valuation date to determine accrued liabilities. Comparing the PUC liabilities to the actuarial value of assets on the valuation date provides an appropriate measure of a plan's funded status. Under current Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules, the PUC method is one of several acceptable measures of a plan's funded status. Use of another cost method could also be considered appropriate and could produce materially different results. Please see the Glossary for a further explanation of the PUC cost method.

We use the plan's assets to calculate contribution rates, unfunded liabilities, and the plan's funded status. Because the market value of assets can be volatile from one year to the next, an asset valuation method is generally used to adjust the Market Value of Assets (MVA) and smooth the effects of short-term volatility. The adjusted assets are called the Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA), or valuation assets.

For this valuation, we calculate the AVA using an asset smoothing method. This smoothing method was adopted during the 2003 Legislative Session. Each year, beginning with the application of this smoothing method, we determine the amount the actual investment return exceeds (or falls below) the expected investment return. We smooth that

| Annual Gain/Loss |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate of Return | Smoothing Period | Annual Recognition |
| 14.5\% and up | 8 years | 12.50\% |
| 13.5-14.5\% | 7 years | 14.29\% |
| 12.5-13.5\% | 6 years | 16.67\% |
| 11.5-12.5\% | 5 years | 20.00\% |
| 10.5-11.5\% | 4 years | 25.00\% |
| 9.5-10.5\% | 3 years | 33.33\% |
| 8.5-9.5\% | 2 years | 50.00\% |
| 6.5-8.5\% | 1 year | 100.00\% |
| 5.5-6.5\% | 2 years | 50.00\% |
| 4.5-5.5\% | 3 years | 33.33\% |
| 3.5-4.5\% | 4 years | 25.00\% |
| 2.5-3.5\% | 5 years | 20.00\% |
| 1.5-2.5\% | 6 years | 16.67\% |
| 0.5-1.5\% | 7 years | 14.29\% |
| 0.5\% and lower | 8 years | 12.50\% | year's asset gains (or losses) based on the scale in the table on the right.

Additionally, to ensure the AVA maintains a reasonable relationship to the MVA, a 30 percent corridor is in place. This means the AVA may not exceed 130 percent nor drop below 70 percent of the MVA in any valuation.

## Economic Assumptions

| Annual Growth in Membership | $1.25 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interest on Member Contributions ${ }^{1}$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| Return on Investment Earnings $^{2}$ | $7.50 \%$ |
| Inflation $^{3}$ | $3.00 \%$ |
| General Salary Increases (includes inflation) $^{4}$ | $3.75 \%$ |
| Annual COLA |  |

${ }^{1}$ Annual rate, compounded quarterly.
${ }^{2}$ Annual rate, compounded annually, net of expenses
${ }^{3}$ Based on the CPI: Urban Wage Earners \& Clerical Workers, Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA - All Items.
${ }^{4}$ Excludes longevity, merit or step increases that usually apply to members in the early part of their careers.
${ }^{5}$ Based on the CPI (3\% maximum per year).

## Economic Assumptions

These generally include the annual rate of return on plan assets, annual rate of inflation, and annual rate of salary growth. The economic assumptions used in this actuarial valuation are prescribed by the Legislature and the LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board, and are shown in the table to the left.

## Demographic Assumptions

These include rates of retirement, rates at which members become disabled, turnover rates, mortality rates, and several other demographic assumptions as disclosed later in this section.

Changes in Methods and Assumptions since the Last Valuation

- We changed the medical inflation assumptions associated with non-pension benefits payable to members and survivors in LEOFF.
- We changed the long-term economic assumptions for interest rate, general salary growth, and inflation consistent with the Pension Funding Council adoption in 2011. This change impacts the results for LEOFF 1 only.

Our mortality rates include an assumption for future mortality improvements. We took three distinct steps to build our mortality assumptions. First, we applied mortality improvements to the RP-2000 mortality table using 50 percent of Scale AA to 2003 , the midpoint of our latest experience study period. Next, we developed age offsets for each system and plan. Finally, we projected the mortality rates into the future, also using 50 percent of Scale AA, to reflect continued mortality improvements.

When age offsets are negative, it means we think people of a given age are generally healthier than others their age. In other words, we expect their mortality experience will be similar to others who are younger than they are. Conversely, a positive age offset means we expect mortality experience for a given age to match that of a higher age in the general population. For instance, we expect a 50 -year-old LEOFF male to have the same mortality rate as other 49-year-old males because we assume a negative one-year age offset.

As an example, consider a healthy LEOFF Plan 2 male, age 50. To project the RP-2000 mortality rates to 2003, we use the following equation:

RP-2000 rate x (1-50\% Scale AA) ${ }^{\wedge} 3$.
For a 50 -year-old male, this is $0.002138 \times(1-0.0090)^{\wedge} 3=0.002081$. Now we have the so-called "RP-2003" rate. However, we expect the age 50 member to have the experience of a 49 -year-old. The corresponding age 49 male rate for RP-2003 is 0.001945 . As a last step, we complete the projection of mortality improvements to the given year (2034 for LEOFF Plan 2). This gives a final mortality rate of 0.001469 for a LEOFF Plan 2 male.

The tables on the following pages show RP-2000 and 50 percent of Scale AA, both published by the Society of Actuaries. The tables that follow show the age offsets we used, the year to which we projected mortality improvements, and the resulting projected mortality rates for each plan. Please see the 2001-2006 Experience Study for more details regarding the development of these rates.

| RP-2000 Mortality Rates |  |  | 50\% Scale AA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Healthy Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.000345 | 0.000191 | 20 | 0.0095 | 0.0080 |
| 21 | 0.000357 | 0.000192 | 21 | 0.0090 | 0.0085 |
| 22 | 0.000366 | 0.000194 | 22 | 0.0085 | 0.0085 |
| 23 | 0.000373 | 0.000197 | 23 | 0.0075 | 0.0080 |
| 24 | 0.000376 | 0.000201 | 24 | 0.0065 | 0.0075 |
| 25 | 0.000376 | 0.000207 | 25 | 0.0050 | 0.0070 |
| 26 | 0.000378 | 0.000214 | 26 | 0.0030 | 0.0060 |
| 27 | 0.000382 | 0.000223 | 27 | 0.0025 | 0.0060 |
| 28 | 0.000393 | 0.000235 | 28 | 0.0025 | 0.0060 |
| 29 | 0.000412 | 0.000248 | 29 | 0.0025 | 0.0060 |
| 30 | 0.000444 | 0.000264 | 30 | 0.0025 | 0.0050 |
| 31 | 0.000499 | 0.000307 | 31 | 0.0025 | 0.0040 |
| 32 | 0.000562 | 0.000350 | 32 | 0.0025 | 0.0040 |
| 33 | 0.000631 | 0.000394 | 33 | 0.0025 | 0.0045 |
| 34 | 0.000702 | 0.000435 | 34 | 0.0025 | 0.0050 |
| 35 | 0.000773 | 0.000475 | 35 | 0.0025 | 0.0055 |
| 36 | 0.000841 | 0.000514 | 36 | 0.0025 | 0.0060 |
| 37 | 0.000904 | 0.000554 | 37 | 0.0025 | 0.0065 |
| 38 | 0.000964 | 0.000598 | 38 | 0.0030 | 0.0070 |
| 39 | 0.001021 | 0.000648 | 39 | 0.0035 | 0.0075 |
| 40 | 0.001079 | 0.000706 | 40 | 0.0040 | 0.0075 |
| 41 | 0.001142 | 0.000774 | 41 | 0.0045 | 0.0075 |
| 42 | 0.001215 | 0.000852 | 42 | 0.0050 | 0.0075 |
| 43 | 0.001299 | 0.000937 | 43 | 0.0055 | 0.0075 |
| 44 | 0.001397 | 0.001029 | 44 | 0.0060 | 0.0075 |
| 45 | 0.001508 | 0.001124 | 45 | 0.0065 | 0.0080 |
| 46 | 0.001616 | 0.001223 | 46 | 0.0070 | 0.0085 |
| 47 | 0.001734 | 0.001326 | 47 | 0.0075 | 0.0090 |
| 48 | 0.001860 | 0.001434 | 48 | 0.0080 | 0.0090 |
| 49 | 0.001995 | 0.001550 | 49 | 0.0085 | 0.0090 |
| 50 | 0.002138 | 0.001676 | 50 | 0.0090 | 0.0085 |
| 51 | 0.002449 | 0.001852 | 51 | 0.0095 | 0.0080 |
| 52 | 0.002667 | 0.002018 | 52 | 0.0100 | 0.0070 |
| 53 | 0.002916 | 0.002207 | 53 | 0.0100 | 0.0060 |
| 54 | 0.003196 | 0.002424 | 54 | 0.0100 | 0.0050 |
| 55 | 0.003624 | 0.002717 | 55 | 0.0095 | 0.0040 |
| 56 | 0.004200 | 0.003090 | 56 | 0.0090 | 0.0030 |
| 57 | 0.004693 | 0.003478 | 57 | 0.0085 | 0.0025 |
| 58 | 0.005273 | 0.003923 | 58 | 0.0080 | 0.0025 |
| 59 | 0.005945 | 0.004441 | 59 | 0.0080 | 0.0025 |
| 60 | 0.006747 | 0.005055 | 60 | 0.0080 | 0.0025 |
| 61 | 0.007676 | 0.005814 | 61 | 0.0075 | 0.0025 |
| 62 | 0.008757 | 0.006657 | 62 | 0.0075 | 0.0025 |
| 63 | 0.010012 | 0.007648 | 63 | 0.0070 | 0.0025 |
| 64 | 0.011280 | 0.008619 | 64 | 0.0070 | 0.0025 |


| RP-2000 Mortality Rates |  |  |  | 50\% Scale AA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Healthy Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 65 | 0.012737 | 0.009706 | 65 | 0.0070 | 0.0025 |
| 66 | 0.014409 | 0.010954 | 66 | 0.0065 | 0.0025 |
| 67 | 0.016075 | 0.012163 | 67 | 0.0065 | 0.0025 |
| 68 | 0.017871 | 0.013445 | 68 | 0.0070 | 0.0025 |
| 69 | 0.019802 | 0.014860 | 69 | 0.0070 | 0.0025 |
| 70 | 0.022206 | 0.016742 | 70 | 0.0075 | 0.0025 |
| 71 | 0.024570 | 0.018579 | 71 | 0.0075 | 0.0030 |
| 72 | 0.027281 | 0.020665 | 72 | 0.0075 | 0.0030 |
| 73 | 0.030387 | 0.022970 | 73 | 0.0075 | 0.0035 |
| 74 | 0.033900 | 0.025458 | 74 | 0.0075 | 0.0035 |
| 75 | 0.037834 | 0.028106 | 75 | 0.0070 | 0.0040 |
| 76 | 0.042169 | 0.030966 | 76 | 0.0070 | 0.0040 |
| 77 | 0.046906 | 0.034105 | 77 | 0.0065 | 0.0035 |
| 78 | 0.052123 | 0.037595 | 78 | 0.0060 | 0.0035 |
| 79 | 0.057927 | 0.041506 | 79 | 0.0055 | 0.0035 |
| 80 | 0.064368 | 0.045879 | 80 | 0.0050 | 0.0035 |
| 81 | 0.072041 | 0.050780 | 81 | 0.0045 | 0.0035 |
| 82 | 0.080486 | 0.056294 | 82 | 0.0040 | 0.0035 |
| 83 | 0.089718 | 0.062506 | 83 | 0.0040 | 0.0035 |
| 84 | 0.099779 | 0.069517 | 84 | 0.0035 | 0.0035 |
| 85 | 0.110757 | 0.077446 | 85 | 0.0035 | 0.0030 |
| 86 | 0.122797 | 0.086376 | 86 | 0.0035 | 0.0025 |
| 87 | 0.136043 | 0.096337 | 87 | 0.0030 | 0.0020 |
| 88 | 0.150590 | 0.107303 | 88 | 0.0025 | 0.0020 |
| 89 | 0.166420 | 0.119154 | 89 | 0.0025 | 0.0015 |
| 90 | 0.183408 | 0.131682 | 90 | 0.0020 | 0.0015 |
| 91 | 0.199769 | 0.144604 | 91 | 0.0020 | 0.0015 |
| 92 | 0.216605 | 0.157618 | 92 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 |
| 93 | 0.233662 | 0.170433 | 93 | 0.0015 | 0.0010 |
| 94 | 0.250693 | 0.182799 | 94 | 0.0015 | 0.0010 |
| 95 | 0.267491 | 0.194509 | 95 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| 96 | 0.283905 | 0.205379 | 96 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| 97 | 0.299852 | 0.215240 | 97 | 0.0010 | 0.0005 |
| 98 | 0.315296 | 0.223947 | 98 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| 99 | 0.330207 | 0.231387 | 99 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| 100 | 0.344556 | 0.237467 | 100 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| 101 | 0.358628 | 0.244834 | 101 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 102 | 0.371685 | 0.254498 | 102 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 103 | 0.383040 | 0.266044 | 103 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 104 | 0.392003 | 0.279055 | 104 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 105 | 0.397886 | 0.293116 | 105 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 106 | 0.400000 | 0.307811 | 106 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 107 | 0.400000 | 0.322725 | 107 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 108 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 | 108 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 109 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 109 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 110 | 0.400000 | 0.364617 | 110 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Scale AA represents annual improvements in mortality rates.

| Projected Mortality Assumptions |  |  |  |  | Projected Disabled Mortality Assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEOFF |  |  |  | LEOFF |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plan 1-2019 |  | Plan 2-2034 |  | Plan 1-2019 |  | Plan 2-2034 |  |  |
| Offsets | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Age |
| 20 | 0.000288 | 0.000165 | 0.000249 | 0.000146 | 0.000306 | 0.000166 | 0.016316 | 0.005670 | 20 |
| 21 | 0.000290 | 0.000165 | 0.000253 | 0.000145 | 0.000316 | 0.000168 | 0.016598 | 0.005573 | 21 |
| 22 | 0.000303 | 0.000168 | 0.000267 | 0.000148 | 0.000322 | 0.000171 | 0.016885 | 0.005573 | 22 |
| 23 | 0.000316 | 0.000173 | 0.000282 | 0.000153 | 0.000328 | 0.000178 | 0.017474 | 0.005670 | 23 |
| 24 | 0.000329 | 0.000180 | 0.000298 | 0.000160 | 0.000337 | 0.000186 | 0.018082 | 0.005768 | 24 |
| 25 | 0.000340 | 0.000188 | 0.000316 | 0.000169 | 0.000350 | 0.000196 | 0.019034 | 0.005867 | 25 |
| 26 | 0.000353 | 0.000199 | 0.000337 | 0.000182 | 0.000372 | 0.000210 | 0.020379 | 0.006071 | 26 |
| 27 | 0.000360 | 0.000210 | 0.000347 | 0.000192 | 0.000393 | 0.000221 | 0.020730 | 0.006071 | 27 |
| 28 | 0.000364 | 0.000221 | 0.000351 | 0.000202 | 0.000423 | 0.000236 | 0.020730 | 0.006071 | 28 |
| 29 | 0.000375 | 0.000236 | 0.000361 | 0.000216 | 0.000476 | 0.000275 | 0.020730 | 0.006071 | 29 |
| 30 | 0.000393 | 0.000280 | 0.000378 | 0.000260 | 0.000536 | 0.000319 | 0.020730 | 0.006283 | 30 |
| 31 | 0.000423 | 0.000324 | 0.000408 | 0.000305 | 0.000602 | 0.000365 | 0.020730 | 0.006501 | 31 |
| 32 | 0.000476 | 0.000365 | 0.000458 | 0.000343 | 0.000669 | 0.000402 | 0.020730 | 0.006501 | 32 |
| 33 | 0.000536 | 0.000399 | 0.000516 | 0.000373 | 0.000737 | 0.000435 | 0.020730 | 0.006391 | 33 |
| 34 | 0.000602 | 0.000431 | 0.000580 | 0.000400 | 0.000802 | 0.000466 | 0.020730 | 0.006283 | 34 |
| 35 | 0.000669 | 0.000462 | 0.000645 | 0.000425 | 0.000862 | 0.000497 | 0.020730 | 0.006176 | 35 |
| 36 | 0.000737 | 0.000493 | 0.000710 | 0.000451 | 0.000918 | 0.000532 | 0.020730 | 0.006071 | 36 |
| 37 | 0.000802 | 0.000528 | 0.000772 | 0.000478 | 0.000971 | 0.000571 | 0.020730 | 0.005968 | 37 |
| 38 | 0.000855 | 0.000566 | 0.000817 | 0.000510 | 0.001016 | 0.000617 | 0.020379 | 0.005867 | 38 |
| 39 | 0.000903 | 0.000612 | 0.000857 | 0.000547 | 0.001065 | 0.000671 | 0.020035 | 0.005768 | 39 |
| 40 | 0.000948 | 0.000671 | 0.000892 | 0.000599 | 0.001123 | 0.000738 | 0.019696 | 0.005768 | 40 |
| 41 | 0.000992 | 0.000738 | 0.000927 | 0.000660 | 0.001189 | 0.000812 | 0.019362 | 0.005768 | 41 |
| 42 | 0.001040 | 0.000812 | 0.000965 | 0.000725 | 0.001266 | 0.000892 | 0.019034 | 0.005768 | 42 |
| 43 | 0.001096 | 0.000892 | 0.001009 | 0.000797 | 0.001354 | 0.000973 | 0.018712 | 0.005768 | 43 |
| 44 | 0.001160 | 0.000973 | 0.001060 | 0.000869 | 0.001437 | 0.001057 | 0.018394 | 0.005768 | 44 |
| 45 | 0.001236 | 0.001048 | 0.001121 | 0.000929 | 0.001527 | 0.001135 | 0.018082 | 0.005670 | 45 |
| 46 | 0.001322 | 0.001126 | 0.001189 | 0.000990 | 0.001623 | 0.001217 | 0.018781 | 0.006122 | 46 |
| 47 | 0.001403 | 0.001208 | 0.001253 | 0.001055 | 0.001724 | 0.001305 | 0.019450 | 0.006588 | 47 |
| 48 | 0.001491 | 0.001305 | 0.001322 | 0.001140 | 0.001830 | 0.001414 | 0.020094 | 0.007188 | 48 |
| 49 | 0.001584 | 0.001414 | 0.001394 | 0.001234 | 0.002076 | 0.001564 | 0.020712 | 0.007820 | 49 |
| 50 | 0.001683 | 0.001577 | 0.001469 | 0.001388 | 0.002239 | 0.001724 | 0.021307 | 0.008629 | 50 |
| 51 | 0.001786 | 0.001738 | 0.001548 | 0.001540 | 0.002429 | 0.001906 | 0.021879 | 0.009495 | 51 |
| 52 | 0.002026 | 0.001937 | 0.001743 | 0.001743 | 0.002640 | 0.002134 | 0.022427 | 0.010597 | 52 |
| 53 | 0.002203 | 0.002169 | 0.001895 | 0.001981 | 0.002999 | 0.002438 | 0.023348 | 0.011788 | 53 |
| 54 | 0.002409 | 0.002478 | 0.002072 | 0.002298 | 0.003480 | 0.002826 | 0.024267 | 0.013069 | 54 |
| 55 | 0.002662 | 0.002872 | 0.002307 | 0.002704 | 0.003926 | 0.003238 | 0.025619 | 0.014436 | 55 |
| 56 | 0.003047 | 0.003290 | 0.002661 | 0.003145 | 0.004454 | 0.003711 | 0.027012 | 0.015889 | 56 |
| 57 | 0.003566 | 0.003741 | 0.003137 | 0.003603 | 0.005063 | 0.004235 | 0.028447 | 0.017132 | 57 |
| 58 | 0.004023 | 0.004235 | 0.003566 | 0.004079 | 0.005792 | 0.004820 | 0.029934 | 0.018102 | 58 |
| 59 | 0.004527 | 0.004820 | 0.004013 | 0.004643 | 0.006600 | 0.005544 | 0.030949 | 0.019074 | 59 |
| 60 | 0.005104 | 0.005544 | 0.004524 | 0.005340 | 0.007529 | 0.006348 | 0.031995 | 0.020057 | 60 |
| 61 | 0.005839 | 0.006348 | 0.005215 | 0.006114 | 0.008691 | 0.007293 | 0.033656 | 0.021065 | 61 |
| 62 | 0.006653 | 0.007293 | 0.005943 | 0.007024 | 0.009791 | 0.008219 | 0.034823 | 0.022115 | 62 |
| 63 | 0.007651 | 0.008219 | 0.006886 | 0.007916 | 0.011146 | 0.009255 | 0.036687 | 0.023229 | 63 |
| 64 | 0.008761 | 0.009255 | 0.007885 | 0.008914 | 0.012628 | 0.010445 | 0.038044 | 0.024430 | 64 |


|  | Projected Mortality Assumptions (Continued) |  |  |  | Projected Disabled Mortality Assumptions (Continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plan 1-2019 |  | Plan 2-2034 |  | Plan 1-2019 |  | Plan 2-2034 |  |  |
| Offsets | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Male | Female | Vale | Female | Male | Female | Age |
| 65 | 009871 | 0.010445 | 008883 | 0.010060 | 014088 | 0.011598 | 0.039514 | . 025739 | 65 |
| 66 | 0.011236 | 0.011598 | 0.010189 | 0.011171 | 0.015765 | 0.012821 | 0.041830 | 0.027180 | 66 |
| 67 | 0.012730 | 0.012821 | 0.011544 | 0.012348 | 0.017468 | 0.014170 | 0.043622 | 0.028769 | 67 |
| 68 | 0.014088 | 0.014170 | 0.012679 | 0.013648 | 0.019402 | 0.015964 | 0.044818 | 0.030523 | 68 |
| 69 | 0.015638 | 0.015964 | 0.014074 | 0.015376 | 0.021468 | 0.017689 | 0.046948 | 0.032452 | 69 |
| 70 | 0.017189 | 0.017689 | 0.015353 | 0.017038 | 0.023645 | 0.019676 | 0.048450 | 0.034565 | 70 |
| 71 | 0.019246 | 0.019518 | 0.017191 | 0.018658 | 0.026337 | 0.021663 | 0.050972 | 0.036242 | 71 |
| 72 | 0.021295 | 0.021663 | 0.019021 | 0.020708 | 0.029382 | 0.024009 | 0.053731 | 0.038690 | 72 |
| 73 | 0.023645 | 0.023817 | 0.021120 | 0.022597 | 0.032841 | 0.026255 | 0.056741 | 0.040626 | 73 |
| 74 | 0.026337 | 0.026255 | 0.023525 | 0.024910 | 0.036604 | 0.028927 | 0.060008 | 0.043400 | 74 |
| 75 | 0.029620 | 0.028695 | 0.026657 | 0.027021 | 0.041107 | 0.031652 | 0.064631 | 0.045576 | 75 |
| 76 | 0.033107 | 0.031652 | 0.029796 | 0.029805 | 0.045748 | 0.034891 | 478 | 671 | 76 |
| 77 | 0.037199 | 0.035172 | 0.033732 | 0.033370 | 0.051331 | 0.038831 | 0.073824 | 0.052853 | 77 |
| 78 | 0.041775 | 0.038831 | 0.038169 | 0.036842 | 0.057587 | 0.042922 | 0.079573 | 0.056404 | 78 |
| 79 | 0.046867 | 0.042922 | 0.043146 | 0.040723 | 0.065070 | 0.047507 | 0.085714 | 0.060175 | 79 |
| 80 | 0.052585 | 0.047507 | 0.048777 | 0.045073 | 0.073395 | 0.052666 | 0.092234 | 186 | 80 |
| 81 | 0.058993 | 0.052666 | 0.055134 | 0.049968 | 0.082474 | 0.058478 | 0.099118 | 0.068467 | 81 |
| 82 | 0.066658 | 0.058478 | 0.062769 | 0.055482 | 0.092602 | 0.065037 | 0.106350 | 0.073050 | 82 |
| 83 | 0.074584 | 0.065037 | 0.070232 | 0.061705 | 0.102790 | 0.072564 | 0.111993 | 0.077967 | 83 |
| 84 | 0.083810 | 0.072564 | 0.079516 | 0.068846 | 0.114883 | 0.081053 | 0.119761 | 0.083254 | 84 |
| 85 | 0.093349 | 0.081706 | 0.088566 | 0.078106 | 0.127467 | 0.091266 | 0.125690 | 0.090472 | 85 |
| 86 | 0.103619 | 0.092001 | 0.098310 | 0.088610 | 0.141310 | 0.102473 | 0.131700 | 0.098361 | 86 |
| 87 | 0.115809 | 0.103298 | 0.110706 | 0.100242 | 0.157422 | 0.114879 | 0.140160 | 0.106977 | 87 |
| 88 | 0.129529 | 0.114879 | 0.124756 | 0.111481 | 0.175153 | 0.126958 | 0.148954 | 0.114405 | 88 |
| 89 | 0.143596 | 0.127979 | 0.138304 | 0.125130 | 0.190777 | 0.140538 | 0.155426 | 0.124455 | 89 |
| 90 | 0.159968 | 0.140538 | 0.155235 | 0.137409 | 0.208834 | 0.153186 | 0.171339 | 0.133080 | 90 |
| 91 | 0.176563 | 0.153186 | 0.171339 | 0.149775 | 0.225279 | 0.165890 | 0.186624 | 0.142249 | 91 |
| 92 | 0.193 | 0.16 | 0.189 | 0.162196 | 0.24364 | 0.177926 | 0.205827 | 0.151967 | 92 |
| 93 | 0.210514 | 0.179357 | 0.205827 | 0.176685 | 0.260360 | 0.190846 | 0.222036 | 0.164733 | 93 |
| 94 | 0.227092 | 0.190846 | 0.222036 | 0.188004 | 0.276337 | 0.201512 | 0.238219 | 0.176685 | 94 |
| 95 | 0.245603 | 0.201512 | 0.241945 | 0.198510 | 0.294206 | 0.211504 | 0.258545 | 0.188004 | 95 |
| 96 | 0.262454 | 0.211504 | 0.258545 | 0.208354 | 0.309824 | 0.220060 | 0.274410 | 0.198510 | 96 |
| 97 | 0.278559 | 0.221829 | 0.274410 | 0.220171 | 0.324476 | 0.229199 | 0.289823 | 0.211611 | 97 |
| 98 | 0.296571 | 0.229199 | 0.294354 | 0.227486 | 0.341810 | 0.235574 | 0.309980 | 0.220171 | 98 |
| 99 | 0.312314 | 0.235574 | 0.309980 | 0.233814 | 0.355770 | 0.242883 | 0.324640 | 0.227486 | 99 |
| 100 | 0.329712 | 0.244834 | 0.329712 | 0.244834 | 0.371685 | 0.254498 | 0.344556 | 0.237467 | 100 |
| 101 | 0.344556 | 0.254498 | 0.344556 | 0.254498 | 0.383040 | 0.266044 | 0.358628 | 0.244834 | 101 |
| 102 | 0.358628 | 0.266044 | 0.358628 | 0.266044 | 0.392003 | 0.279055 | 0.371685 | 0.254498 | 102 |
| 103 | 0.371685 | 0.279055 | 0.371685 | 0.279055 | 0.397886 | 0.293116 | 0.383040 | 0.266044 | 103 |
| 104 | 0.383040 | 0.293116 | 0.383040 | 0.293116 | 0.400000 | 0.307811 | 0.392003 | 0.279055 | 104 |
| 105 | 0.392003 | 0.307811 | 0.392003 | 0.307811 | 0.400000 | 0.322725 | 0.397886 | 0.293116 | 105 |
| 106 | 0.397886 | 0.322725 | 0.397886 | 0.322725 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 | 0.400000 | 0.307811 | 106 |
| 107 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.322725 | 107 |
| 108 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 | 108 |
| 109 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 | 109 |
| 110 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |  |

Improvements in mortality are projected to the year specified for each plan based on $50 \%$ of Scale AA.

|  | Service Retirement |  | Disablement* |  | Ratio of Survivors Selecting Annuities** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 |  |
| Age |  <br> Female | Male \& Female | Male \& Female | Male \& Female | Male \& Female |  <br> Female | Age |
| 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0010 | 0.0001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20 |
| 21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0010 | 0.0002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21 |
| 22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0010 | 0.0002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22 |
| 23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0010 | 0.0002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23 |
| 24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24 |
| 25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25 |
| 26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0024 | 0.0004 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26 |
| 27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0038 | 0.0005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27 |
| 28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0052 | 0.0005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28 |
| 29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0066 | 0.0007 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29 |
| 30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0080 | 0.0008 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30 |
| 31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0094 | 0.0009 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31 |
| 32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0107 | 0.0010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32 |
| 33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0121 | 0.0011 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33 |
| 34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0135 | 0.0012 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34 |
| 35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0149 | 0.0013 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 35 |
| 36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0163 | 0.0015 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 36 |
| 37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0190 | 0.0018 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 37 |
| 38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0205 | 0.0020 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 38 |
| 39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0220 | 0.0021 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 39 |
| 40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0235 | 0.0023 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 40 |
| 41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0249 | 0.0024 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 41 |
| 42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0264 | 0.0025 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 42 |
| 43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0279 | 0.0027 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 43 |
| 44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0360 | 0.0028 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 44 |
| 45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0400 | 0.0030 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 45 |
| 46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0468 | 0.0033 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 46 |
| 47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0532 | 0.0038 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 47 |
| 48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0592 | 0.0044 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 48 |
| 49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0648 | 0.0049 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 49 |

Rates have been rounded for display purposes.
*LEOFF disability retirements are assumed to continue after service retirement eligibility, except for LEOFF 1 members with more than 30 years of service.
Please see the 2011 AVR for full LEOFF 1 assumptions.
**Refers to survivor who selects annuity payments (rather than a lump sum payment) upon active or terminated vested member's death. The LEOFF 2 ratio is 0.607 for duty-related deaths.

|  | Service Retirement (Continued) |  | Disablement* <br> (Continued) |  | Ratio of Survivors Selecting Annuities** (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 |  |
|  | Male \& | Male \& | Male \& | Male \& | Male \& | Male \& |  |
| Age | Female | Female | Female | Female | Female | Female | Age |
| 50 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.0700 | 0.0056 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 50 |
| 51 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.0748 | 0.0061 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 51 |
| 52 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.0792 | 0.0065 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 52 |
| 53 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.0832 | 0.0070 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 53 |
| 54 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.0868 | 0.0075 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 54 |
| 55 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.0900 | 0.0080 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 55 |
| 56 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.0928 | 0.0084 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 56 |
| 57 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.0952 | 0.0090 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 57 |
| 58 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.0972 | 0.0095 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 58 |
| 59 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.0988 | 0.0097 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 59 |
| 60 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.1000 | 0.0100 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 60 |
| 61 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.1008 | 0.0104 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 61 |
| 62 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.1012 | 0.0107 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 62 |
| 63 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.1012 | 0.0110 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 63 |
| 64 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.1008 | 0.0114 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 64 |
| 65 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.1000 | 0.0118 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 65 |
| 66 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.0756 | 0.0121 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 66 |
| 67 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.0544 | 0.0125 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 67 |
| 68 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.0364 | 0.0129 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 68 |
| 69 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.0216 | 0.0133 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 69 |
| 70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 70 |
| 71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 71 |
| 72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 72 |
| 73 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 73 |
| 74 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 74 |
| 75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 75 |
| 76 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 76 |
| 77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 77 |
| 78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 78 |
| 79 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 79 |
| 80+ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 80+ |

Rates have been rounded for display purposes.
*LEOFF disability retirements are assumed to continue after service retirement eligibility, except for LEOFF 1 members with more than 30 years of service.
Please see the 2011 AVR for full LEOFF 1 assumptions.
**Refers to survivor who selects annuity payments (rather than a lump sum payment) upon active or terminated vested member's death. The LEOFF 2 ratio is 0.607 for duty-related deaths.

|  | Termination |  | Percent Vested* |  | Step Salary Increases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF |  |  |
| Service | Male \& | Male \& | Male \& | Male \& |  | Salary | Service |
| Years | Female | Female | Female | Female | \% Increase | Ratio | Years |
| 0 | 0.1072 | 0.1070 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.00\% | 1.840 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.0482 | 0.0481 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.00\% | 1.657 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.0246 | 0.0245 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.70\% | 1.493 | 2 |
| 3 | 0.0217 | 0.0216 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.10\% | 1.386 | 3 |
| 4 | 0.0206 | 0.0204 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00\% | 1.307 | 4 |
| 5 | 0.0198 | 0.0197 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 2.80\% | 1.256 | 5 |
| 6 | 0.0194 | 0.0193 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 2.00\% | 1.222 | 6 |
| 7 | 0.0193 | 0.0192 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.60\% | 1.198 | 7 |
| 8 | 0.0180 | 0.0179 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.50\% | 1.179 | 8 |
| 9 | 0.0175 | 0.0174 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.40\% | 1.162 | 9 |
| 10 | 0.0172 | 0.0170 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.70\% | 1.146 | 10 |
| 11 | 0.0153 | 0.0151 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.30\% | 1.127 | 11 |
| 12 | 0.0151 | 0.0150 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.30\% | 1.112 | 12 |
| 13 | 0.0145 | 0.0144 | 1.00 | 0.27 | 1.30\% | 1.098 | 13 |
| 14 | 0.0116 | 0.0114 | 1.00 | 0.27 | 1.30\% | 1.084 | 14 |
| 15 | 0.0108 | 0.0107 | 1.00 | 0.27 | 1.30\% | 1.070 | 15 |
| 16 | 0.0106 | 0.0105 | 1.00 | 0.27 | 1.10\% | 1.056 | 16 |
| 17 | 0.0085 | 0.0084 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 1.10\% | 1.045 | 17 |
| 18 | 0.0087 | 0.0086 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.10\% | 1.033 | 18 |
| 19 | 0.0086 | 0.0085 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.10\% | 1.022 | 19 |
| 20 | 0.0088 | 0.0087 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.10\% | 1.011 | 20 |
| 21 | 0.0085 | 0.0084 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 21 |
| 22 | 0.0082 | 0.0081 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 22 |
| 23 | 0.0076 | 0.0075 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 23 |
| 24 | 0.0072 | 0.0071 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 24 |

Rates have been rounded for display purposes.
*Denotes ratio of members who do not withdraw their savings when they leave employment.

|  | Termination <br> (Continued) |  | Percent Vested* <br> (Continued) |  | Step Salary Increases <br> (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 | LEOFF 1 | LEOFF 2 |  |  |  |
| Service Years | Male \& Female |  <br> Female | Male \& Female |  <br> Female | in | Salary Ratio | Service Years |
| 25 | 0.0067 | 0.0066 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 25 |
| 26 | 0.0077 | 0.0076 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 26 |
| 27 | 0.0070 | 0.0069 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 27 |
| 28 | 0.0062 | 0.0061 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 28 |
| 29 | 0.0018 | 0.0017 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 29 |
| 30 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 30 |
| 31 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 31 |
| 32 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 32 |
| 33 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 33 |
| 34 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 34 |
| 35 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 35 |
| 36 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 36 |
| 37 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 37 |
| 38 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 38 |
| 39 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 39 |
| 40 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 40 |
| 41 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 41 |
| 42 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 42 |
| 43 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 43 |
| 44 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 44 |
| 45 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 45 |
| 46 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 46 |
| 47 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 47 |
| 48 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 48 |
| 49 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 49 |
| 50 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.00\% | 1.000 | 50 |

Rates have been rounded for display purposes.
*Denotes ratio of members who do not withdraw their savings when they leave employment.

## Certain and Life Annuities: Years Certain

## LEOFF 1 <br> 3 <br> LEOFF 2 5

Member/Beneficiary Age Difference (In Years)

|  | Male Member | Female Member |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF | 3 | $(2)$ |
| Age difference is Member age minus Beneficiary age. |  |  |


| Assumed Retirement Age from Inactive Status |
| :---: |
| LEOFF $2 \times 53$ ( 50 if service >= $\mathbf{~} 20$ |
| years) |


| LEOFF 2 Duty-Related Disability Assumption |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Duty Disability Rate* |
| 20 | 97.15\% |
| 25 | 95.71\% |
| 30 | 94.30\% |
| 35 | 92.85\% |
| 40 | 91.45\% |
| 50 | 85.75\% |
| 55+ | 82.90\% |

*Probability of disability being dutyrelated; geometrically interpolated between given values. Applies to LEOFF 2 only. Table represents a summary of rates.

Duty-Related Death Assumption
Duty Death Rate*
LEOFF 1 0.0376\%
LEOFF 2
0.0376\%
*The duty death rate is a constant probability, regardless of age.
The nonduty death rate is obtained by subtracting duty death rate from mortality rate for any given age. We increase any given age. We incre
these rates by $10 \%$ for a death resulting in payment of
the special lump sum duty-death death resulting in payment of
the special lump sum duty-death benefit.

| Duty-Related Death Assumption |
| :---: |
|  |
| Duty Death Rate* |
| LEOFF 1 |
| LEOFF 2 | $0.0376 \%$


| Additional Duty-Related Assumptions for LEOFF 2 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Percent of disabilities assumed to be catastrophic | $12 \%$ |
| Percent of deaths assumed to be caused by occupational  <br> diseases for fire fighters  <br> Age Rate <br> $20-49$ $14.74 \%$ <br> $50-69$ $27.39 \%$ <br> Percent of Final Average Salary paid for catastrophic  <br> disability benefits (including offset adjustments). $33.86 \%$ |  |

Average Ratio of Survivors of Inactive Deaths
Selecting Annuities*
*Refers to survivor who selects annuity payments (rather than a lump sum payment) if a currently terminated vested member dies before retirement age.


Employee Contribution Rates for Savings Fund Accrual

## LEOFF 2

8.46\%

This assumption helps us estimate the value of accumulated employee contributions with interest if a member elects a refund of contributions instead of a deferred retirement allowance upon termination.
*No LEOFF 1 rates are required as long as the plan remains fully funded.

## Medical Premium Reimbursement

RCW 41.26.510(5) states that qualified survivors and children of line-of-duty deaths (Survivors) in LEOFF 2 shall have medical premiums reimbursed from the retirement fund. The law also provides that all survivors will be covered by the Public Employees Benefits Board (PEBB).

RCW 41.26.470(10) states that LEOFF 2 members with total disabilities (Disabilities) and qualified family members shall have medical premiums reimbursed from the retirement fund.

The costs for these benefits are included in the results presented in this report. However, the benefits are funded through irrevocable trust funds, known as $401(\mathrm{~h})$ accounts, from contribution rates selected by the Department of Retirement Systems (DRS) and the LEOFF 2 Board. These contribution rates are "carved out" of the total adopted contribution rates. DRS and the LEOFF 2 Board will periodically review the funding requirements for these benefits and adjust the 401 (h) contribution rates as necessary.

The information below represents methods and assumptions tied directly to the medical premium reimbursement benefits. Please see the 2011 Other Post-Employment Benefits Actuarial Valuation Report (OPEB Report) or assumptions referenced below.

## Medical Inflation

- Current and Future Survivors, and Future Disabilities: Uniform Medical Plan (UMP) Medicare and Pre-Medicare assumptions (OPEB report).
- Current Disabilities: 5 percent per year.


## Percent Married

- Future Disabilities: 85 percent.
- Current Disabilities: 100 percent.


## Percent With Children

- Disabilities and Survivors: 100 percent, one child each.


## Premium Percentages

When the data for members currently qualifying for total disability benefits does not provide information about how many family members are covered, we use the assumptions in the table at the right to split the total premium into each family member's share

## Assumed Coverage Type, Future Disabilities

Premium Percentages (Current Disabilities)

Percent of
Family Member Total Premium
Primary 34\%

Spouse $\quad 49 \%$
Child 17\%
All 100\%

- Fifty percent covered by policies provided under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (COBRA).
- Fifty percent covered by employer-provided policies.


## Assumed Timing/Length of Coverage

| Assumed Timing/Length of Coverage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coverage Type Beneficiary Type | Start of Coverage | End of Coverage |
| COBRA |  |  |
| Future Disabilities | Upon Benefit Commencement | 2.5 Years after Commencement* |
| Current Disabilities | Upon Benefit Commencement | 29 Months after Commencement |
| Employer/PEBB |  |  |
| Survivors and Spouses of Disabilities | Upon Benefit Commencement | Age 65** |
| Disabilities | Upon Benefit Commencement | 29 Months after Commencement |
| Child | Upon Benefit Commencement | 10 Years after Commencement** |
| Medicare |  |  |
| Future Disabilities | 2.5 Years after Commencement* | Paid for Life |
| Current Disabilities | 29 Months after Commencement | Paid for Life |
| Survivors and Spouses of Disabilities | Age 65** | Paid for Life** |
| State-Provided Medicare Subsidy*** |  |  |
| Current and Future Disabilities | 29 Months After Commencement | Paid for Life |

*Because of a limitation in the model, we assume 2 years for $50 \%$ of members, and 3 years for $50 \%$ of members, depending on member's age at benefit commencement.
**Benefits paid to spouses and child(ren) of Disabilities for the life of the member.
${ }^{* * *}$ Whether member is covered by COBRA or other means, we assume the member is also covered under the state's explicit Medicare subsidy.

## Assumed Premiums

| Disabilities(Used for Future Disabilities and Current Disabilities Who HaveMissing Values) Missing Values) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coverage Type | Family Member | Category | Annual Premium |
| COBRA |  |  |  |
|  | Member | Pre-Medicare | \$10,376.62 |
|  | Spouse | Pre-Medicare | 5,807.57 |
|  | Child | Pre-Medicare | \$3058.40 |
| Employer |  |  |  |
|  | Member | Pre-Medicare | \$12,895.81 |
|  |  | Medicare | 7,854.30 |
|  | Spouse | Pre-Medicare | 11,457.78 |
|  |  | Medicare | 7,392.38 |
|  | Child | Pre-Medicare | \$3962.35 |
| Total Disabilities |  |  |  |
| State-Provided Medicare Subsidy |  |  |  |
| Age Annual Subsidy |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 25 | \$6472.80 |  |
|  | 25-27 | 4084.80 |  |
|  | 28 and Above | \$1,156.80 |  |
| Future Survivors (Covered under PEBB Options) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Annual Premium |  |
| Family Member | Category | Medical | Dental |
| Survivor |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-Medicare | \$6,115.56 | \$571.56 |
|  | Medicare | \$2,329.56 | \$571.56 |
| Child |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-Medicare | \$4,530.48 | \$571.56 |

## Miscellaneous Assumptions/Methods

We include the following miscellaneous assumptions and methods in this valuation:

- Minimum and maximum allowable ages are set in the data as follows.

|  | Non-Annuitants | Annuitants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Age | 16 | 20 |
| Maximum Age | 80 | 110 |

- Default entry salaries, usually increased for past service, are assigned for active members with less than two months' service during the valuation year.
- Historical salaries for vested terminated members are not provided in the valuation data. Beginning with the 2008 valuation year, we first look to see if we kept a historical salary for such a member in the prior year's data. If so, we copy the salary to the current year's data. If a member was active in the prior year and terminated in the current year, we copy the prior year's salary to the current year's salary and keep it as historical.
- Additionally, in 2009 we searched our data for actual salaries up to ten years prior for terminated vested members who did not already have historical salaries listed. To estimate salaries for the remaining terminated vested members, we use the following procedure: First, a salary appropriate for LEOFF 2 and the member's total past service is assigned. These salaries are determined as of a given base year. Second, the salary is divided by the general salary increase assumption for each year the member has been inactive as measured from the base year.
- While DRS reports salaries earned during the year prior to the valuation date, the salaries used in the first year of the valuation process have received an additional merit salary increase. In other words, the valuation software projects salaries to the coming year, beginning the day after the valuation date.
- LEOFF 2 uses a midyear decrement timing assumption.
- Members who receive a disability benefit are not assumed to return to active duty in the future.
- Termination rates are discontinued after members are eligible to retire.


## Summary of Plan Provisions

| Summary of Plan Provisions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Effective Date of Plan | 10/1/77 |
| Date Closed to New Entrants | Open |
| Statutory Reference | Chapter 41.26 RCW |
| Normal Retirement Eligibility (age/service) | 53/5 |
| Accrued Benefit Formula | $2 \% \times$ YOS x AFC; $0.25 \%$ per month pre-retirement COLA with 20 years of service |
| Computation of FAS/AFC | Average compensation earnable for the highest 60 consecutive months |
| Credited Service | Monthly, based on hours worked each month |
| Vesting | 5 years |
| Vested Benefits Upon Termination | Refund of employee contributions ( x $150 \%$ if 10 YOS) plus interest, or deferred retirement allowance |
| Early Retirement Eligibility (age/service) | 50/20 |
| Early Retirement Reduction Factors | 3\% ERF with 20 YOS |

Disability Retirement Benefit

COLA
Non-duty: accrued benefit, actuarially reduced; Duty, occupational: accrued benefit without actuarial reduction, minimum 10\% of AFC; Duty, total: $70 \%$ of AFC with offsets for Social Securty and L\&I benefits, not to exceed $100 \%$ of AFC.

Minimum Benefit per Month per YOS
Lesser of CPI* or 3\%

Changes in Plan Provisions Since Last Civil Marriages (C 3 L 12); DFW Valuation Service Credit Transfer (C 248 L 12)
*CPI: Urban Wage Earners \& Clerical Workers, Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA - All Items.

| Early Retirement Factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years Early | LEOFF 2* | Subsidized 3\%** |
| 0 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | 0.910 | 0.97 |
| 2 | 0.830 | 0.94 |
| 3 | 0.757 | 0.91 |
| 4 | 0.691 | N/A |
| 5 | 0.631 | N/A |
| 6 | 0.577 | N/A |
| 7 | 0.527 | N/A |
| 8 | 0.483 | N/A |
| 9 | 0.442 | N/A |
| 10 | 0.405 | N/A |
| 11 | 0.371 | N/A |
| 12 | 0.341 | N/A |
| 13 | 0.313 | N/A |
| 14 | 0.287 | N/A |
| 15 | 0.264 | N/A |
| 16 | 0.242 | N/A |
| 17 | 0.223 | N/A |
| 18 | 0.205 | N/A |
| 19 | 0.188 | N/A |
| 20 | 0.173 | N/A |
| 21 | 0.159 | N/A |
| 22 | 0.147 | N/A |
| 23 | 0.135 | N/A |
| 24 | 0.125 | N/A |
| 25 | 0.115 | N/A |
| 26 | 0.106 | N/A |
| 27 | 0.100 | N/A |
| 28 | 0.100 | N/A |
| 29 | 0.100 | N/A |
| 30+ | 0.100 | N/A |

From Normal Retirement Age
*Only applies to non-duty disabilities and deaths.
**LEOFF 2 members must be at least age 50 with 20 or more years of service to qualify.

## Age/Service Distribution

| Age and Service Distribution of Active Law Enforcement Officers (Number of Actives and Average Annual Salary) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& Over | Total |
| Under 25 | 6 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
|  | \$57,814 | \$53,182 | \$71,923 | \$66,253 | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$61,425 |
| 25-29 | 23 | 75 | 84 | 184 | 159 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 671 |
|  | \$58,471 | \$60,663 | \$68,816 | \$72,472 | \$74,760 | \$79,658 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$72,320 |
| 30-34 | 20 | 54 | 66 | 140 | 174 | 585 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,164 |
|  | \$60,137 | \$63,158 | \$72,178 | \$73,135 | \$76,112 | \$82,544 | \$84,596 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$78,799 |
| 35-39 | 17 | 31 | 38 | 80 | 98 | 524 | 668 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,587 |
|  | \$59,809 | \$61,783 | \$72,935 | \$73,534 | \$74,795 | \$81,669 | \$88,837 | \$89,586 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$83,673 |
| 40-44 | 9 | 14 | 23 | 49 | 58 | 326 | 612 | 698 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,988 |
|  | \$61,531 | \$63,989 | \$73,845 | \$71,551 | \$73,872 | \$82,942 | \$86,936 | \$94,020 | \$96,812 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$88,569 |
| 45-49 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 16 | 20 | 134 | 301 | 404 | 663 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,674 |
|  | \$60,187 | \$67,254 | \$64,695 | \$68,605 | \$78,632 | \$79,644 | \$88,511 | \$93,088 | \$99,808 | \$108,392 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$94,067 |
| 50-54 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 54 | 116 | 178 | 383 | 339 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 1,227 |
|  | \$57,917 | \$97,582 | \$91,753 | \$87,618 | \$74,439 | \$78,325 | \$86,205 | \$91,496 | \$96,742 | \$103,376 | \$112,969 | \$0 | \$0 | \$97,263 |
| 55-59 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 33 | 46 | 88 | 141 | 156 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 723 |
|  | \$69,494 | \$84,491 | \$118,490 | \$80,079 | \$124,026 | \$73,351 | \$83,468 | \$88,104 | \$95,593 | \$102,151 | \$108,324 | \$0 | \$0 | \$98,396 |
| 60-64 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 16 | 20 | 45 | 40 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
|  | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$57,124 | \$67,529 | \$79,790 | \$82,220 | \$90,163 | \$93,226 | \$98,083 | \$103,633 | \$0 | \$0 | \$94,466 |
| 65-69 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$62,836 | * | \$78,690 | \$86,358 | \$91,545 | \$94,722 | \$0 | \$0 | \$87,233 |
| 70 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$98,649 |
| Total | 91 | 217 | 233 | 493 | 523 | 1,819 | 1,886 | 1,522 | 1,440 | 654 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 9,312 |
|  | \$60,010 | \$62,955 | \$71,699 | \$72,759 | \$75,610 | \$81,571 | \$87,569 | \$92,673 | \$97,876 | \$103,493 | \$108,783 | \$0 | \$0 | \$88,237 |


| Age and Service Distribution of Active Fire Fighters (Number of Actives and Average Annual Salary) (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& Over | Total |
| Under 25 | 9 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
|  | \$49,817 | \$51,846 | \$60,021 | \$75,325 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$58,584 |
| 25-29 | 30 | 54 | 90 | 134 | 99 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 534 |
|  | \$52,511 | \$58,438 | \$65,291 | \$73,933 | \$79,801 | \$85,464 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$73,536 |
| 30-34 | 27 | 35 | 63 | 111 | 111 | 463 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 956 |
|  | \$55,820 | \$58,255 | \$68,288 | \$76,540 | \$80,898 | \$87,753 | \$90,888 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$82,870 |
| 35-39 | 10 | 24 | 46 | 51 | 59 | 380 | 502 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,170 |
|  | \$53,305 | \$65,149 | \$67,008 | \$74,649 | \$76,492 | \$88,321 | \$94,918 | \$101,554 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$89,455 |
| 40-44 | 14 | 8 | 23 | 31 | 34 | 271 | 520 | 485 | 167 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,554 |
|  | \$56,173 | \$67,201 | \$68,357 | \$74,745 | \$78,844 | \$89,112 | \$93,688 | \$101,490 | \$105,993 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$95,111 |
| 45-49 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 108 | 213 | 355 | 411 | 141 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1,263 |
|  | \$58,000 | \$88,279 | \$68,272 | \$80,218 | \$86,820 | \$88,402 | \$91,907 | \$102,493 | \$108,368 | \$114,341 | \$107,962 | \$0 | \$0 | \$102,171 |
| 50-54 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 46 | 91 | 202 | 311 | 286 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 1,131 |
|  | \$83,385 | \$0 | \$82,219 | \$58,032 | \$95,957 | \$95,969 | \$89,879 | \$98,420 | \$106,079 | \$112,406 | \$119,787 | \$0 | \$0 | \$106,569 |
| 55-59 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 23 | 39 | 69 | 143 | 162 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 647 |
|  | * | \$76,013 | \$113,611 | \$115,575 | * | \$91,362 | \$87,652 | \$97,435 | \$104,521 | \$113,990 | \$116,474 | \$0 | \$0 | \$108,244 |
| 60-64 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 34 | 31 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
|  | \$0 | * | \$0 | * | * | \$107,386 | \$96,028 | \$101,156 | \$105,948 | \$110,120 | \$111,332 | \$0 | \$0 | \$106,464 |
| 65-69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$102,952 | \$106,550 | \$94,510 | \$113,232 | \$102,953 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$104,922 |
| 70 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$108,488 |
| Total | 95 | 152 | 253 | 352 | 318 | 1,435 | 1,526 | 1,227 | 1,070 | 626 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 7,493 |
|  | \$54,580 | \$60,485 | \$67,197 | \$75,217 | \$79,688 | \$88,517 | \$93,245 | \$101,081 | \$106,759 | \$113,071 | \$117,197 | \$0 | \$0 | \$95,156 |

*Annual Salary omitted for privacy reasons.
Numbers of participants eligible for early and normal retirement are estimates only

## Age/Years Retired Distribution

| Age and Years Retired Distribution of Service Retired Law Enforcement Officers (Number of Service Retired Members and Average Monthly Benefit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years Retired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& Over | Total |
| Under 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 50-54 | 38 | 37 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  | \$3,359 | \$3,061 | \$3,587 | \$3,242 | \$3,154 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,252 |
| 55-59 | 38 | 96 | 77 | 87 | 73 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 |
|  | \$3,536 | \$3,745 | \$2,981 | \$2,700 | \$2,790 | \$2,647 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,014 |
| 60-64 | 20 | 29 | 15 | 28 | 29 | 149 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 |
|  | \$2,932 | \$3,468 | \$2,870 | \$3,212 | \$2,872 | \$2,335 | \$1,506 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,576 |
| 65-69 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 56 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 |
|  | \$2,246 | \$3,092 | \$3,022 | \$1,884 | \$2,321 | \$2,124 | \$1,249 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,953 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
|  | \$0 | * | * | * | \$2,182 | \$1,691 | \$1,396 | \$299 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,552 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$2,269 | \$1,136 | \$891 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,292 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$1,543 | \$842 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,199 |
| 85-89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 90-94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 95 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | 101 | 170 | 114 | 134 | 118 | 347 | 98 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,094 |
|  | \$3,286 | \$3,525 | \$2,998 | \$2,829 | \$2,753 | \$2,369 | \$1,340 | \$838 | \$842 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,687 |

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { Males } & 1,002 \\
\text { Females } & 92
\end{array}
$$

## N 0 N ले

| Age and Years Retired Distribution of Service Retired Fire Fighters (Number of Service Retired Members and Average Monthly Benefit) (Continued) <br> LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years Retired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 |  | Total |
| Under 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 50-54 | 16 | 19 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
|  | \$4,183 | \$3,354 | \$3,840 | \$2,981 | \$2,957 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,603 |
| 55-59 | 34 | 43 | 42 | 23 | 31 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209 |
|  | \$4,908 | \$4,094 | \$3,327 | \$3,540 | \$2,971 | \$2,507 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,571 |
| 60-64 | 17 | 24 | 16 | 25 | 15 | 77 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
|  | \$4,666 | \$4,205 | \$3,325 | \$3,875 | \$3,100 | \$2,630 | \$2,172 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,267 |
| 65-69 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 25 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
|  | \$4,407 | \$2,426 | \$3,056 | \$2,292 | \$2,489 | \$2,380 | \$2,075 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,455 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  | \$0 | \$1,580 | \$0 | \$3,568 | * | \$2,223 | \$1,815 | \$788 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,877 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,666 | \$1,064 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,345 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,317 | \$770 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,544 |
| 85-89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * |
| 90-94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 95 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | 69 | 93 | 70 | 61 | 62 | 149 | 40 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 562 |
|  | \$4,666 | \$3,827 | \$3,336 | \$3,498 | \$2,896 | \$2,528 | \$1,969 | \$1,139 | \$603 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,165 |


| Age and Years Retired Distribution of All Law Enforcement Officers With Disabilities (Number of All Members With Disabilities and Average Monthly Benefit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years Retired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 |  | Total |
| Under 50 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  | \$1,305 | \$2,302 | \$1,301 | \$1,439 | * | \$1,052 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,451 |
| 50-54 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  | \$0 | \$2,135 | \$3,539 | \$3,227 | * | \$1,646 | \$522 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,957 |
| 55-59 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
|  | \$1,739 | \$2,259 | \$1,515 | \$3,217 | \$2,864 | \$2,473 | \$822 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,403 |
| 60-64 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
|  | \$2,010 | \$3,296 | \$3,386 | \$2,274 | \$1,950 | \$2,563 | \$1,536 | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,457 |
| 65-69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$1,343 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,722 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$809 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$809 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 85-89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 90-94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 95 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | 6 | 14 | 12 | 19 | 11 | 62 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
|  | \$1,684 | \$2,473 | \$2,405 | \$2,508 | \$2,533 | \$2,076 | \$1,012 | \$0 | * | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,080 |
| Average: |  | Age | 55.8 |  |  |  |  |  | Males | 116 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Years Retired |  | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  | males | 25 |  |  |  |  |

Age and Years Retired Distribution of All Fire Fighters With Disabilities
(Number of All Members With Disabilities and Average Monthly Benefit)

| Age and Years Retired Distribution of All Fire Fighters With Disabilities (Number of All Members With Disabilities and Average Monthly Benefit) (Continued) <br> LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years Retired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 |  | Total |
| Under 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  | \$0 | \$2,528 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,178 | \$856 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,299 |
| 50-54 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
|  | \$0 | \$2,565 | \$3,610 | \$2,671 | * | \$1,854 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,393 |
| 55-59 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  | * | \$3,591 | \$2,856 | \$3,086 | \$2,940 | \$2,772 | \$2,778 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,032 |
| 60-64 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  | \$0 | * | \$3,322 | \$3,877 | * | \$2,582 | \$1,443 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,838 |
| 65-69 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | \$0 | \$3,095 | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$2,076 | * | \$648 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,912 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 85-89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 90-94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 95 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | 1 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
|  | * | \$3,094 | \$3,263 | \$3,078 | \$2,154 | \$2,197 | \$1,920 | \$648 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,541 |


| Age and Years Retired Distribution of Survivors of Law Enforcement Officers (Number of Survivors and Average Monthly Benefit) (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEOFF Plan 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attained Age | Attained Years Retired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | ver | Total |
| Under 50 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
|  | \$0 | \$892 | \$1,467 | \$2,268 | \$943 | \$2,081 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,301 |
| 50-54 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
|  | \$3,318 | \$716 | \$1,529 | * | * | \$1,682 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,617 |
| 55-59 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
|  | \$0 | \$249 | \$2,496 | * | \$1,530 | \$1,770 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,403 |
| 60-64 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
|  | \$0 | \$179 | \$1,123 | \$0 | * | \$2,359 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,498 |
| 65-69 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | * | \$1,542 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,895 |
| 70-74 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | \$0 | * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,367 | \$564 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$802 |
| 75-79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 80-84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 85-89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 90-94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 95 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | 3 | 32 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 27 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
|  | \$3,318 | \$773 | \$1,597 | \$2,052 | \$1,659 | \$1,849 | \$1,295 | \$564 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,430 |
| Average: |  | Age | 52.3 |  |  |  |  |  | Males | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Years Retired |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  | males | 89 |  |  |  |  |
| *Monthly benefit omitted for privacy reasons. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Historical Data

| Historical Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007* | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Contribution Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Rate | 4.54\% | 4.54\% | 4.44\% | 4.34\% | 4.56\% | 4.66\% | 4.86\% | 4.57\% | 4.32\% | 3.84\% |
| State Rate | 3.03\% | 3.03\% | 2.96\% | 2.89\% | 3.04\% | 3.11\% | 3.24\% | 3.03\% | 2.88\% | 2.57\% |
| Employee Rate | 7.57\% | 7.57\% | 7.40\% | 7.23\% | 7.60\% | 7.77\% | 8.10\% | 7.60\% | 7.20\% | 6.41\% |
| Funded Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected Unit Credit Liability | \$5,576 | \$5,078 | \$4,349 | \$3,786 | \$3,386 | \$3,323 | \$2,932 | \$2,521 | \$2,194 | \$1,937 |
| Market Value of Assets | \$6,366 | \$5,081 | \$4,309 | \$5,315 | \$5,185 | \$4,339 | \$3,614 | \$2,984 | \$2,541 | \$2,136 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$6,621 | \$6,043 | \$5,564 | \$5,053 | \$4,360 | \$3,844 | \$3,329 | \$2,947 | \$2,740 | \$2,646 |
| Unfunded Liability | $(\$ 1,044)$ | (\$965) | (\$1,215) | (\$1,266) | (\$974) | (\$521) | (\$397) | (\$426) | (\$547) | (\$709) |
| Funded Ratio | 118.7\% | 119.0\% | 127.9\% | 133.4\% | 128.8\% | 115.7\% | 113.5\% | 116.9\% | 124.9\% | 136.6\% |
| Participant Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Actives | 16,805 | 16,775 | 16,951 | 16,626 | 16,099 | 15,718 | 15,168 | 14,754 | 14,560 | 14,011 |
| Total Annual Salaries | \$1,535 | \$1,490 | \$1,443 | \$1,345 | \$1,234 | \$1,172 | \$1,092 | \$1,020 | \$967 | \$902 |
| Number of Terminated Vested | 655 | 781 | 672 | 649 | 629 | 597 | 570 | 521 | 439 | 376 |
| Number of Terminated, Not Vested | 1,617 | 1,707 | 1,663 | 1,531 | 1,433 | 1,362 | 1,285 | 1,233 | 1,186 | 1,137 |
| Number of Retirees and Beneficiaries | 2,015 | 1,639 | 1,367 | 1,134 | 924 | 779 | 574 | 432 | 316 | 244 |
| Total Annual Benefits | \$65 | \$49 | \$38 | \$29 | \$22 | \$17 | \$11 | \$8 | \$5 | \$3 |
| Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valuation Interest Rate | 7.50\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 5.94\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 8.00\% |
| Salary Increase | 5.61\% | 6.55\% | 6.61\% | 6.61\% | 5.49\% | 7.40\% | 7.40\% | 7.60\% | 7.70\% | 7.80\% |
| Inflation** | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% |
| Growth in Membership | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 0.94\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% |
| Actuarial Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on Market Value | 21.08\% | 12.99\% | (22.64\%) | (1.33\%) | 16.61\% | 15.77\% | 17.55\% | 13.64\% | 15.13\% | (6.31\%) |
| Return on Actuarial Value | 6.15\% | 4.84\% | 5.72\% | 11.04\% | 10.03\% | 10.80\% | 9.30\% | 4.10\% | 0.60\% | 0.10\% |
| Salary Increase | 3.48\% | 5.35\% | 6.69\% | 7.65\% | 4.31\% | 5.50\% | 5.90\% | 5.20\% | 4.80\% | 7.00\% |
| Inflation | 0.78\% | 0.44\% | 4.48\% | 3.79\% | 3.73\% | 3.02\% | 1.57\% | 1.41\% | 1.81\% | 3.55\% |
| Growth in Membership | (0.12\%) | 0.17\% | 1.53\% | 2.62\% | 1.83\% | 2.66\% | 1.85\% | 0.33\% | 2.59\% | 1.73\% |
| COLA*** | 0.78\%-3\% | 0.44\%-3\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |

*For the 2007 valuation, the salary, interest, and growth rates were not annualized. They reflect the actual valuation period of nine months.
**Based on the assumption for prior year's CPI: Urban Wage Earners \& Clerical Workers, Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA - All Items.
${ }^{* * *}$ COLA is based on the CPI ( $3 \%$ maximum per year).

## Glossary

## Actuarial Accrued Liability

Computed differently under different funding methods, the actuarial accrued liability generally represents the portion of the present value of fully projected benefits attributable to service credit earned (or accrued) as of the valuation date.

## Actuarial Gain or Loss

A pension plan incurs actuarial gains or losses when the actual experience of the pension plan does not exactly match assumptions. For example, an actuarial gain would occur if assets earned 10 percent for a given year since the assumed interest rate in the valuation is 8 percent ( 7.5 percent for LEOFF 2 ).

## Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of pension plan investments and other property used by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation (sometimes referred to as valuation assets). Actuaries commonly select an asset valuation method that smooths the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of assets.

## Dollar-Weighted Rate of Return

The internal rate of return. This signifies the rate of return during a period with respect to the beginning balance and cash flows that occur during the period. Dollar-Weighted returns measure the actual impact the pension plan experiences during the period, which includes returns based on the timing of the cash flows during the period.

## Entry Age Normal (EAN) Funding Method

The EAN funding method is a standard actuarial funding method. The annual cost of benefits under EAN is comprised of two components:

- Normal cost; plus
- Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

The normal cost is determined on an individual basis, from a member's age at plan entry, and is designed to be a level percentage of pay throughout a member's career if all assumptions are realized and benefit provisions remain unchanged.

## Funded Ratio

The ratio of a plan's current assets to the present value of earned pensions. Actuaries use several methods to measure a plan's assets and liabilities.

## Market Value of Assets (MVA)

The market value of assets is the value of the pension fund based on the value of the assets as they would trade on an open market, including accrued income and expenses.

## Normal Cost

Computed differently under different funding methods, the normal cost generally represents the portion of the cost of projected benefits allocated to the current plan year. The employer normal cost equals the total normal cost of the plan reduced by employee contributions.

## Present Value of Fully Projected Benefits

Computed by projecting the total future benefit payments from the plan, using actuarial assumptions (i.e., probability of death or retirement, salary increases, etc.), and discounting the payments to the valuation date using the valuation interest rate to determine the present value (today's value).

## Projected Unit Credit (PUC) Actuarial Cost Method

The PUC cost method is a standard actuarial funding method. The annual cost of benefits under PUC is comprised of two components:

- Normal cost; plus
- Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

The PUC normal cost is the estimated present value of projected benefits current plan members will earn in the year following the valuation date.

## Present Value of Future Salaries (PVFS)

The value of future expected salaries discounted with interest to the valuation date.

## Time-Weighted Rate of Return

The geometric average rate of return. This signifies the rate of return during a period without respect to cash flows that occur during the period. Investment manager performance is typically based on time-weighted returns since they have no control over when the cash flows occur.

## Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

The excess, if any, of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of assets. In other words, the present value of benefits earned to date that not covered by current plan assets.

|  | WASHINGTON STATE <br> Law Enforcement Officers' <br> and Fire Fighters' <br> Plan 2 Retirement Board |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2100 Evergreen Park Dr. SW, Suite 180 <br> PO Box 40918 <br> Olympia, Washington 98504-0918 |
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